

Nilpotent Representations of Classical Quantum Groups at Roots of Unity

Yuuki ABE *

Department of Mathematics,
Sophia University

Toshiki NAKASHIMA †

Department of Mathematics,
Sophia University,

In memory of W.A.Schnizer

Abstract

Properly specializing the parameters in “Schnizer modules”, for type A,B,C and D, we get its unique primitive vector. Then we show that the module generated by the primitive vector is an irreducible highest weight module of finite dimensional classical quantum groups at roots of unity.

1 Introduction

The representation theory of quantum groups at roots of unity are divided into the following two types: one is for U_ε defined by DeConcini-Kac (=non-restricted type) [1] and the other is for $U_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}$ defined by Lusztig(=restricted type) [2]. In the latter case, the classification of irreducible modules is same as generic case, that is, they are classified by highest weights([2],[3]). In the former case, however, most irreducible modules are no longer highest or lowest weight modules and they are characterized by several continuous parameters([1]). For type A, such modules are constructed very explicitly in [4], which is called maximal cyclic representations. For any simple Lie algebra, Schnizer introduced an alternative construction of such modules in [5],[6], which we also call a maximal cyclic representation or “Schnizer module”.

In [7], the second author found that for type A_n -case if the continuous parameters in maximal cyclic representations are specialized properly, then there exists a unique primitive vector and the submodule generated by the primitive vector is irreducible as a module of finite dimensional quantum group at roots of unity(denoted by $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$). In this paper, we shall show that this method is applicable to the Schnizer modules of types A_n , B_n , C_n and D_n .

In order to explain what we shall do in this article, let us see A_n -case explicitly: Let $N = \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ be the number of positive roots, l be an odd integer greater than 3 and ε be the primitive l -th root of unity. Set $V := (\mathbb{C}^l)^{\otimes N}$ and for each $a, b \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we can define a $U_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C}))$ -module structure on V as follows. (Indeed, the module as below is similar to the maximal cyclic representation as in [4].).

Theorem 1.1 (Schnizer module [6]). *For any $a = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$, $b = (b_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we obtain a $U_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C}))$ -module structure on V : $\Phi_{\lambda,a,b} : U_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C})) \longrightarrow \text{End}(V)$. For any $i \in I$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\lambda,a,b}(t_i)(u(m)) &= \varepsilon^{\mu_{i,n}^m} u(m), \\ \Phi_{\lambda,a,b}(f_i)(u(m)) &= \sum_{k=i}^n [m_{i,k} - m_{i+1,k} + b_{i,k} - b_{i+1,k} - \mu_{i,k-1}^m + 1] a_{i,k}^{-1} u(m + \varepsilon_{i,k}),\end{aligned}$$

*e-mail: yu-abe@hoffman.cc.sophia.ac.jp

†supported in part by JSPS Grants in Aid for Scientific Research, e-mail: toshiki@mm.sophia.ac.jp

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\lambda,a,b}(e_i)(u(m)) &= \sum_{k=1}^i [m_{k-1,n-i+k} - m_{k,n-i+k} + b_{k-1,n-i+k} - b_{k,n-i+k} + 1] \\ &\quad \times \left(\prod_{p=k+1}^i a_{p-1,n-i+p}^{-1} a_{p,n-i+p} \right) u\left(m + \sum_{p=k+1}^i (\varepsilon_{p-1,n-i+p} - \varepsilon_{p,n-i+p})\right),\end{aligned}$$

where for any $(i-1 \leq j \leq n)$, set

$$\mu_{i,j}^m = \lambda_i + m_{i-1,i-1} + b_{i-1,i-1} + \sum_{p=i}^j (m_{i-1,p} - 2m_{i,p} + m_{i+1,p} + b_{i-1,p} - 2b_{i,p} + b_{i+1,p}),$$

and $a_{i,j} := 1, b_{i,j} := 0, m_{i,j} := 0$ if the index (i, j) is out of range. If $j > i$ then $\sum_{k=j}^i (\dots) := 0, \prod_{p=j}^i (\dots) := 1$.

Here if we specialize a, b, λ as follows, we can find the unique primitive vector u_λ in V and it has a weight λ : Let us define $a^{(0)} = (a_{i,j}^{(0)})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}, b^{(0)} = (b_{i,j}^{(0)})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \in \mathbb{C}^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}$ by

$$a_{i,j}^{(0)} := 1, \quad b_{i,j}^{(0)} := i \quad (1 \leq i \leq j \leq n).$$

Proposition 1.2. *For any $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, let $(\Phi_{\lambda,a^{(0)},b^{(0)}}, V)$ be the representation as in Theorem 1.1. A vector $u \in V$ satisfies that $\Phi_{\lambda,a^{(0)},b^{(0)}}(e_i)u = 0$ for any $i \in I$ if and only if $u \in \mathbb{C}u(0)$.*

Finally, it turns out that the submodule $U_\varepsilon u_\lambda \subset V$ is an irreducible highest weight $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module. By this method, we obtain all finite dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -modules:

Theorem 1.3. *For any $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$ ($\mathbb{Z}_l := \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$), we define $\lambda' := (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ by*

$$\lambda'_i := \lambda_i + 2 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Let $(\Phi_{\lambda',a^{(0)},b^{(0)}}, V)$ be the $U_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C}))$ -representation as in Theorem 1.1. Let $U_\varepsilon u(0)$ be the $U_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C}))$ -submodule of V generated by $u(0)$. Then $U_\varepsilon u(0)$ is a finite dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C}))$ -module of type 1 with highest weight λ .

The proofs of the above statements are done by the similar way to the ones in [7].

The organization of the paper is as follows: in Sect 2, we prepare notations and review the theory of quantum groups at roots of unity briefly. In Sect.3, we introduce Schnizer modules and show the uniqueness of primitive vectors in it under some specialization of the parameters. In the last section, we show that the submodule generated by the primitive vector is regarded as a module for the finite dimensional quantum group at roots of unity $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ of types (A), B, C and D. At last, we obtain that such submodule is an irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module and all finite dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -modules are exhausted by such modules.

2 Quantum enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$

2.1 Definition of quantum enveloping algebra

In this subsection, we define the quantum enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ for a generic q . Let $\mathbb{C}(q)$ be the rational function field in an indeterminate q . Define

$$\begin{aligned}[a]_{q^d} &:= \frac{q^{da} - q^{-da}}{q^d - q^{-d}}, \quad [a] := [a]_q, \\ [a]_{q^d}! &:= [a]_{q^d} [a-1]_{q^d} \cdots [1]_{q^d}, \quad [0]! := 1,\end{aligned}$$

for any $a, d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ of rank n and $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be the set of simple roots, $I := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, Δ be the set of roots (resp. Δ_+ be the set of positive roots). Define the root lattice $Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ (resp. $Q_+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_+\alpha_i$). Let $(a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ be the Cartan matrix associated with $\mathfrak{g}$, and $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be an element in $\mathbb{N}^n$ such that $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$ for any $i, j \in I$ and $\text{g.c.d.}(d_1, \dots, d_n) = 1$. We denote the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ by W which is generated by the simple reflections $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$. Now, we define the quantum enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ over $\mathbb{C}(q)$.

Definition 2.1. Quantum enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is an associative $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -algebra generated by $\{e_i, f_i, t_i^{\pm 1} | i \in I\}$ with the relations

$$\begin{aligned} t_i t_i^{-1} &= t_i^{-1} t_i = 1, & t_i t_j &= t_j t_i, \\ t_i e_j t_i^{-1} &= q_i^{a_{ij}} e_j, \\ t_i f_j t_i^{-1} &= q_i^{-a_{ij}} f_j, \\ e_i f_j - f_j e_i &= \delta_{ij} \{t_i\}_{q_i}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k e_i^{(k)} e_j e_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k f_i^{(k)} f_j f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \end{aligned}$$

where $q_i := q^{d_i}$, $e_i^{(k)} := \frac{1}{[k]_{q^{d_i}}!} e_i^k$, $f_i^{(k)} := \frac{1}{[k]_{q^{d_i}}!} f_i^k$, $\{t_i\}_{q_i} := \frac{t_i - t_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$.

Let $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}), U_q^0(\mathfrak{g})$) be the $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ (resp. $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{t_i^{\pm 1}\}_{i=1}^n$).

2.2 Non-restricted specialization

In this subsection, we define the non-restricted specializations U_ε for a root of unity ε .

Definition 2.2. Let $A := \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$ be the Laurent polynomial ring, U_A be the A -subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $\{e_i, f_i, t_i^{\pm 1}, \{t_i\}_{q_i}\}_{i=1}^n$, l be an odd integer greater than 3, and ε be a primitive l -th root of unity such that $\varepsilon^{2d_i} \neq 1$ for any $i \in I$. We regard $\mathbb{C}$ as A -algebra by $f(q)c := f(\varepsilon) \cdot c$ for any $f(q) \in A, c \in \mathbb{C}$ and we denote it by $\mathbb{C}_\varepsilon$. Now we define

$$U_\varepsilon := U_A \otimes_A \mathbb{C}_\varepsilon,$$

and we call U_ε “non-restricted specialization of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ ”. By the similar manner to Definition 2.1, we define $U_\varepsilon^+, U_\varepsilon^-$ and U_ε^0 , and we denote $u \otimes 1$ as u for any $u \in U_A$.

Remark. ([1]) One can also describe U_ε in term of generators and relations. That is, U_ε is an associative $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra generated by $\{e_i, f_i, t_i^{\pm 1}\}_{i=1}^n$ with the relations of Definition 2.1 replacing q by ε .

2.3 Root vectors

In this subsection, we introduce the root vectors and its properties.

Proposition 2.3 ([1], [8]). (i) For any $i \in I$, there exist U_ε -automorphism T_i such that

$$\begin{aligned} T_i(e_i) &= -f_i t_i, & T_i(e_j) &= \sum_{s=0}^{-a_{ij}} (-1)^{s-a_{ij}} q_i^{-s} e_i^{(-a_{ij}-s)} e_j e_i^{(s)} \quad (i \neq j), \\ T_i(f_i) &= -t_i^{-1} e_i, & T_i(f_j) &= \sum_{s=0}^{-a_{ij}} (-1)^{s-a_{ij}} q_i^s f_i^{(s)} f_j f_i^{(-a_{ij}-s)} \quad (i \neq j), \\ T_i(t_j) &= t_j t_i^{-a_{ij}}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For $w \in W$, let $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$ be a reduced expression of w , and set $T_w := T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_r}$. Then T_w is well-defined (that is, T_w does not depend on a choice of reduced expression of w).

Definition 2.4. Let w_0 be a longest element of W , $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$ be a reduced expression of w_0 , and we set

$$\beta_1 := \alpha_{i_1}, \beta_2 := s_{i_1}(\alpha_{i_2}), \dots, \beta_N := s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{N-1}}(\alpha_{i_N}),$$

(by the theory of the classical Lie algebra, $\Delta_+ = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N\}$) and

$$e_{\beta_k} := T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(e_{i_k}), f_{\beta_k} := T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(f_{i_k}) \quad (1 \leq k \leq N).$$

We call these e_{β_k}, f_{β_k} “root vectors of U_ε ”.

Definition 2.5. Set $\deg(e_i) := \alpha_i, \deg(f_i) := -\alpha_i, \deg(t_i) := 0$.

These are compatible with the relations of U_ε . Therefore, we can regard U_ε as Q -graded algebra and we have

$$U_\varepsilon = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q} (U_\varepsilon)_\alpha, \quad (U_\varepsilon)_\alpha (U_\varepsilon)_{\alpha'} \subset (U_\varepsilon)_{\alpha+\alpha'},$$

for any $\alpha, \alpha' \in Q$, where $(U_\varepsilon)_\alpha := \{u \in U_\varepsilon | \deg(u) = \alpha\}$. We also use the following propositions later.

Proposition 2.6 ([8]). We have $e_\alpha \in U_\varepsilon^+ \cap (U_\varepsilon)_\alpha, f_\alpha \in U_\varepsilon^- \cap (U_\varepsilon)_{-\alpha} \quad (\alpha \in \Delta_+)$.

Proposition 2.7 ([1]). Let $Z(U_\varepsilon)$ be the center of U_ε . We have $e_\alpha^l, f_\alpha^l, t_i^l \in Z(U_\varepsilon)$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta_+, 1 \leq i \leq n$.

Next, we introduce the PBW theorem and the triangular decomposition. They will be used in the subsequent sections. Let $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N\}$ be as in Definition 2.4, then $\Delta_+ = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N\}$.

Theorem 2.8 ([1]). (i) $\{e_{\beta_1}^{m_1} \cdots e_{\beta_N}^{m_N} | m_1, \dots, m_N \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of U_ε^+ .

(ii) $\{f_{\beta_1}^{m_1} \cdots f_{\beta_N}^{m_N} | m_1, \dots, m_N \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of U_ε^- .

(iii) $\{k_1^{m_1} \cdots k_n^{m_n} | m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of U_ε^0 .

(iv) Let ϕ be the multiplication map $\phi : U_\varepsilon^- \otimes U_\varepsilon^0 \otimes U_\varepsilon^+ \longrightarrow U_\varepsilon \quad (u_- \otimes u_0 \otimes u_+ \mapsto u_- u_0 u_+)$. Then ϕ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space.

3 Primitive vectors

We keep the settings and notations as in Sect.2.

3.1 Schnizer modules

In this subsection, we introduce the Schnizer modules of $U_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})), U_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C}))$ and $U_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$. These representations are defined through the representations of the “Weyl algebra”.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ or $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})$), H be a group generated by $\{x_{i,j}^\pm, z_{i,j}^\pm | 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ (resp. $\{x_{i,j}^\pm, z_{i,j}^\pm | 1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$) with relations

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i,j} z_{i,j} &= \varepsilon z_{i,j} x_{i,j}, & x_{i,j} z_{k,l} &= z_{k,l} x_{i,j} \quad (k, l) \neq (i, j), \\ x_{i,j} x_{k,l} &= x_{k,l} x_{i,j}, & z_{i,j} z_{k,l} &= z_{k,l} z_{i,j}. \end{aligned}$$

We set $\mathcal{W} := \mathbb{C}[H]$ (= group ring of H), and call it “Weyl algebra”.

We use the following notations in the sequel:

$$\{h\}_{\varepsilon^d} := \frac{h - h^{-1}}{\varepsilon^d - \varepsilon^{-d}}, \quad \{h\} := \{h\}_{\varepsilon},$$

for any $h \in H, d \in \mathbb{Z} (d \neq 0)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ or $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})$), $V := \bigotimes_{i,j=1}^n V_{ij}$ (resp. $V := \bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq n} V_{ij}$), where $V_{i,j} = \mathbb{C}^l$. Set $u_k^{(ij)} := (\delta_{k0}, \delta_{k1}, \dots, \delta_{k,l-1}) \in V_{ij} (0 \leq k \leq l-1)$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker's delta. Let $X : V_{ij} \longrightarrow V_{ij}$ be the linear map defined by*

$$Xu_k^{(ij)} = u_{k-1}^{(ij)} \quad (u_{-1} := u_{l-1}), \quad (3.1)$$

$X_{ij} : V \longrightarrow V$ be the linear map given by

$$X_{ij}(u_{k_{11}}^{(11)} \otimes \dots \otimes u_{k_{ij}}^{(ij)} \otimes \dots) := u_{k_{11}}^{(11)} \otimes \dots \otimes (Xu_{k_{ij}}^{(ij)}) \otimes \dots \quad (3.2)$$

(i.e. X_{ij} acts only on the (i, j) component), $Z : V_{ij} \longrightarrow V_{ij}$ be the linear map given by

$$Zu_k^{(ij)} = \varepsilon^k u_k^{(ij)}, \quad (3.3)$$

and $Z_{ij} : V \longrightarrow V$ be the linear map given by

$$Z_{ij}(u_{k_{11}}^{(11)} \otimes \dots \otimes u_{k_{ij}}^{(ij)} \otimes \dots) := u_{k_{11}}^{(11)} \otimes \dots \otimes (Zu_{k_{ij}}^{(ij)}) \otimes \dots \quad (3.4)$$

(i.e. Z_{ij} acts only on the (i, j) component). Then these $\{X_{ij}, Z_{ij}\}$ satisfies the relations in Definition 3.1.

Let $N = n^2$ (resp. $N = n(n-1)$) be the number of the positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $a = (a_{ij}), b = (b_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$. Let $\psi_{ab} : \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \text{End}(V)$ be the homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra given by

$$\psi_{ab}(x_{ij}) = a_{ij} X_{ij}, \quad \psi_{ab}(z_{ij}) = b_{ij} Z_{ij}. \quad (3.5)$$

Then, ψ_{ab} is a well-defined representation of $\mathcal{W}$.

Now, we introduce the Schnizer modules of $U_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$, $U_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C}))$, and $U_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$ following [5].

Theorem 3.3 ([5] Theorem 3.8). *For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 2$), and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We define the map $\varphi_\lambda : U_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\lambda(e_1) &= F_{1,1}, \\ \varphi_\lambda(e_j) &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} D_{k,j} \right) F_{j,j} + \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} \left(\prod_{p=0}^{q-1} D_{p,j} \right) C_{q,j}, \quad (2 \leq j \leq n), \\ \varphi_\lambda(t_j) &= T_{1,j}^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n), \\ \varphi_\lambda(f_1) &:= E_{1,1}, \quad \varphi_\lambda(f_j) := E_{j,j} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} B_{i,j} \quad (2 \leq j \leq n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{i,j} &= \{z_{i,j}^{-1} z_{i,j-1}\} x_{i,j} + \{z_{j,i}^{-1} z_{j+1,i}\} x_{i,j} x_{j,i} x_{i,j-1}^{-1}, \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-1), \\ C_{i,n} &= \{z_{i,n}^2 z_{n,i}^{-2}\}_{\varepsilon^2} x_{i,n-1} x_{i,n} x_{n,i}^2 + \{z_{i,n-1} z_{n,i}^{-1}\} x_{i,n-1} x_{i,n} x_{n,i} + \{z_{i,n-1} z_{i,n}^{-2}\}_{\varepsilon^2} x_{i,n}, \\ &\quad (i \leq i < j = n), \\ D_{i,j} &= x_{i,j-1}^{-1} x_{i,j} x_{j+1,i}^{-1} x_{j,i}, \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-1), \\ D_{i,n} &= x_{i,n-1}^{-2} x_{n,i}^2 \quad (1 \leq i < n), \quad D_{i,j} = 1 \quad \text{otherwise}, \\ F_{j,j} &= \{z_{j,j}^{-1}\} x_{j,j} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1), \quad F_{n,n} = \{z_{n,n}^{-2}\}_{\varepsilon^2} x_{n,n}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{i,j} &= \left(\prod_{k=i}^{j-1} A_{k,j} \right) T_{j,j} \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n), \\
A_{i,j} &:= z_{i,j-1}^{-1} z_{i,j}^2 z_{i,j+1}^{-1} z_{j+2,i}^{-1} z_{j+1,i}^2 z_{j,i}^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-2), \\
A_{i,n-1} &:= z_{i,n-2}^{-1} z_{i,n-1}^2 z_{i,n}^{-2} z_{n,i}^2 z_{n-1,i}^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i < n-1), \\
A_{i,n} &:= z_{i,n-1}^{-2} z_{i,n}^4 z_{n,i}^{-2}, \quad (1 \leq i < n), \\
T_{j,j} &:= z_{j,j}^2 z_{j,j+1}^{-1} z_{j+2,j}^{-1} z_{j+1,j}^2 z_{j+1,j+1}^{-1} z_{j+2,j+1}^{-1} \varepsilon^{\lambda_j}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-2), \\
T_{n-1,n-1} &:= z_{n-1,n-1}^2 z_{n-1,n}^{-2} z_{n,n-1}^2 z_{n,n}^{-2} \varepsilon^{\lambda_{n-1}}, \quad T_{n,n} := z_{n,n}^4 \varepsilon^{\lambda_n},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{i,j} &:= \{z_{i,j+1}^{-1} z_{j+2,i}^{-1} z_{j+1,i}^2 z_{i,j}^{-1} z_{i,j} T_{i+1,j}\} x_{i,j}^{-1} + \{z_{j,i}^{-1} z_{j+1,i} T_{i+1,j}\} x_{j+1,i}^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-2), \\
B_{i,n-1} &:= \{z_{i,n}^{-2} z_{i,n-1} z_{n,i} z_{n-1,i}^{-1} T_{i+1,n-1}\} x_{i,n-1}^{-1} + \{z_{n-1,i}^{-1} z_{n,i} T_{i+1,n-1}\} x_{n,i}^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i < n-1), \\
B_{i,n} &:= \{z_{i,n}^2 z_{n,i}^{-2} T_{i+1,n}\} \varepsilon^2 x_{i,n}^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i < n), \\
E_{j,j} &:= \{z_{j,j} z_{j,j+1}^{-1} z_{j+2,j}^{-1} z_{j+1,j}^2 z_{j+1,j+1}^{-1} z_{j+2,j+1}^{-1} \varepsilon^{\lambda_j}\} x_{j,j}^{-1} + \{z_{j+1,j} z_{j+1,j+1}^{-1} z_{j+2,j+1}^{-1} \varepsilon^{\lambda_j}\} x_{j+1,j}^{-1}, \\
&\quad (1 \leq j \leq n-2), \\
E_{n-1,n-1} &:= \{z_{n-1,n-1} z_{n-1,n}^{-2} z_{n,n-1}^2 z_{n,n}^{-2} \varepsilon^{\lambda_{n-1}}\} x_{n-1,n-1}^{-1} + \{z_{n,n-1} z_{n,n}^{-2} \varepsilon^{\lambda_{n-1}}\} x_{n,n-1}^{-1}, \\
E_{n,n} &:= \{z_{n,n}^2 \varepsilon^{\lambda_n}\} \varepsilon^2 x_{n,n}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then φ_λ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra. In particular, a pair $(\Phi_{\lambda,a,b} := \psi_{ab} \circ \varphi_\lambda, V)$ is a representation of $U_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$.

We call the representation in the above theorem “Schnizer module” or “maximal cyclic representation”.

Remark.

- (i) The explicit form of the actions of the generators above are slightly different from those in [5]. Through the $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra U_ε -automorphism ω to U_ε such that $(\omega(e_i), \omega(f_i), \omega(t_i)) = (f_i, e_i, t_i^{-1})$, we have that the action of e_j , (resp. f_j, t_j) in [5] corresponds to the action of f_j (resp. e_j, t_j^{-1}) as above.
- (ii) We call U_ε -representations such that $e_i^l \neq 0$ and $f_i^l \neq 0$ for any $i \in I$ (resp. $e_i^l = 0$ and $f_i^l = 0$ for any i) “cyclic U_ε -representations” (resp. “nilpotent U_ε -representations”). In particular, we call l^N -dimensional irreducible cyclic U_ε -representations “maximal cyclic U_ε -representations” (l^N is the dimension of the representation in Theorem 3.3). Because the dimension of the finite dimensional irreducible U_ε -representations are less than or equal to l^N ([1]). The representations of Theorem 3.3 are not necessarily irreducible or cyclic. However here, we also call these representations maximal cyclic U_ε -representations.

Theorem 3.4 ([5] Theorem 3.10). For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 3$) and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We define the map $\varphi_\lambda : U_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$ by,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_\lambda(e_1) &:= F_{11}, \quad \varphi_\lambda(e_j) := \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} D_{k,j} \right) F_{j,j} + \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} \left(\prod_{p=0}^{q-1} D_{p,j} \right) C_{q,j}, \quad (2 \leq j \leq n), \\
\varphi_\lambda(t_j) &= T_{1,j}^{-1}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq n), \\
\varphi_\lambda(f_1) &:= E_{1,1}, \quad \varphi_\lambda(f_j) := E_{j,j} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} B_{i,j}, \quad (2 \leq j \leq n),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{i,j} &:= \{z_{i,j-1}^2 z_{i,j}^{-2}\}_{\varepsilon^2 x_{i,j}} + \{z_{j+1,i}^2 z_{j,i}^{-2}\}_{\varepsilon^2 x_{i,j-1}^{-1} x_{i,j} x_{j,i}}, \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-1), \\
C_{i,n} &:= \{z_{i,n-1}^2 z_{i,n}^{-1}\}_{x_{i,n}} + \{z_{n,i}^{-2} z_{i,n}\}_{x_{i,n-1}^{-1} x_{i,n} x_{n,i}}, \quad (1 \leq i < j = n), \\
D_{i,j} &:= x_{i,j-1}^{-1} x_{i,j} x_{j+1,i}^{-1} x_{j,i}, \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-1), \\
D_{i,n} &:= x_{i,n-1}^{-1} x_{n,i}, \quad (1 \leq i < n), \quad D_{i,j} := 1, \quad \text{otherwise}, \\
F_{j,j} &:= \{z_{j,j}^{-2}\}_{\varepsilon^2 x_{j,j}}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1), \quad F_{n,n} := \{z_{n,n}^{-1}\}_{x_{n,n}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{i,j} &:= \left(\prod_{k=i}^{j-1} A_{k,j} \right) T_{j,j}, \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n), \\
A_{i,j} &:= z_{i,j-1}^{-2} z_{i,j}^4 z_{i,j+1}^{-2} z_{j+2,i}^{-2} z_{j+1,i}^4 z_{j,i}^{-2}, \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-2), \\
A_{i,n-1} &:= z_{i,n-2}^{-2} z_{i,n-1}^4 z_{i,n}^{-2} z_{n,i}^4 z_{n-1,i}^{-2}, \quad (1 \leq i < n-1), \\
A_{i,n} &:= z_{i,n-1}^{-2} z_{i,n}^2 z_{n,i}^{-2}, \quad (1 \leq i < n), \\
T_{j,j} &:= z_{j,j}^4 z_{j,j+1}^{-2} z_{j+2,j}^{-2} z_{j+1,j+1}^4 z_{j+2,j+1}^{-2} \varepsilon^{\lambda_j}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-2), \\
T_{n-1,n-1} &:= z_{n-1,n-1}^4 z_{n-1,n}^{-2} z_{n,n-1}^4 z_{n,n}^{-2} \varepsilon^{\lambda_{n-1}}, \quad T_{n,n} := z_{n,n}^2 \varepsilon^{\lambda_n}, \\
B_{i,j} &:= \{z_{i,j}^2 z_{i,j+1}^{-2} z_{j+2,i}^{-2} z_{j+1,i}^4 z_{j,i}^{-2} T_{i+1,j}\}_{\varepsilon^2 x_{i,j}^{-1}} + \{z_{j+1,i}^2 z_{j,i}^{-2} T_{i+1,j}\}_{\varepsilon^2 x_{j+1,i}}, \\
&\quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-2), \\
B_{i,n-1} &:= \{z_{i,n-1}^2 z_{i,n}^{-2} z_{n,i}^4 z_{n-1,i}^{-2} T_{i+1,n-1}\}_{\varepsilon^2 x_{i,n-1}^{-1}} + \{z_{n,i}^2 z_{n-1,i}^{-2} T_{i+1,n-1}\}_{\varepsilon^2 x_{n,i}^{-1}}, \\
&\quad (1 \leq i < n-1), \\
B_{i,n} &:= \{z_{i,n} z_{n,i}^{-2} T_{i+1,n}\}_{x_{i,n}^{-1}}, \quad (1 \leq i < n), \\
E_{j,j} &:= \{z_{j,j}^2 z_{j,j+1}^{-2} z_{j+2,j}^{-2} z_{j+1,j+1}^4 z_{j+2,j+1}^{-2} \varepsilon^{\lambda_j}\}_{\varepsilon^2 x_{j,j}^{-1}} \\
&\quad + \{z_{j+1,j}^2 z_{j+1,j+1}^{-2} z_{j+2,j+1}^{-2} \varepsilon^{\lambda_j}\}_{\varepsilon^2 x_{j+1,j}^{-1}}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-2), \\
E_{n-1,n-1} &:= \{z_{n-1,n-1}^2 z_{n-1,n}^{-2} z_{n,n-1}^4 z_{n,n}^{-2} \varepsilon^{\lambda_{n-1}}\}_{\varepsilon^2 x_{n-1,n-1}^{-1}} + \{z_{n,n-1}^2 z_{n,n}^{-2} \varepsilon^{\lambda_{n-1}}\}_{x_{n,n-1}^{-1}}, \\
E_{n,n} &:= \{z_{n,n} \varepsilon^{\lambda_n}\}_{x_{nn}^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then φ_λ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra. In particular, a pair $(\Phi_{\lambda,a,b} := \psi_{ab} \circ \varphi_\lambda, V)$ is a representation of $U_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C}))$.

Theorem 3.5 ([5] Theorem 3.11). For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 4$) and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We define the map $\varphi_\lambda : U_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_\lambda(e_1) &:= F_{1,1}, \quad \varphi_\lambda(e_j) := \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} D_{k,j} \right) F_{j,j} + \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} \left(\prod_{p=0}^{q-1} D_{p,j} \right) C_{q,j}, \quad (2 \leq j \leq n-2), \\
\varphi_\lambda(e_{n-1}) &:= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n/2-1} D_{2k-1,n-1} \right) \left(\prod_{k'=1}^{n/2-1} D_{2k'-1,n} \right) F_{n-1,n-1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{q=1}^{n/2-1} \left(\prod_{p=0}^{q-1} D_{2p-1,n-1} \right) \left(\prod_{p'=0}^{q-1} D_{2p'-1,n} \right) C_{2q-1,n-1} + \sum_{q=1}^{n/2-1} \left(\prod_{p=0}^q D_{2p-1,n-1} \right) \left(\prod_{p'=0}^{q-1} D_{2p'-1,n} \right) C_{2q,n}, \quad (n; \text{even}) \\
\varphi_\lambda(e_n) &:= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n/2-1} D_{2k,n-1} \right) \left(\prod_{k'=1}^{n/2-1} D_{2k'-1,n} \right) F_{n-1,n} \\
&\quad + \sum_{q=1}^{n/2-1} \left(\prod_{p=0}^{q-1} D_{2p,n-1} \right) \left(\prod_{p'=0}^q D_{2p'-1,n} \right) C_{2q,n-1} + \sum_{q=1}^{n/2-1} \left(\prod_{p=0}^q D_{2p,n-1} \right) \left(\prod_{p'=0}^{q-1} D_{2p'-1,n} \right) C_{2q-1,n}, \quad (n; \text{even})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_\lambda(e_{n-1}) &:= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} D_{2k-1,n-1} \right) \left(\prod_{k'=1}^{(n-3)/2} D_{2k',n} \right) F_{n-1,n} \\
&+ \sum_{q=1}^{(n-1)/2} \left(\prod_{p=0}^{q-1} D_{2p-1,n-1} \right) \left(\prod_{p'=0}^{q-1} D_{2p',n} \right) C_{2q-1,n-1} + \sum_{q=1}^{(n-3)/2} \left(\prod_{p=0}^q D_{2p-1,n-1} \right) \left(\prod_{p'=0}^{q-1} D_{2p',n} \right) C_{2q,n}, \quad (n; \text{odd}) \\
\varphi_\lambda(e_n) &:= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{(n-3)/2} D_{2k,n-1} \right) \left(\prod_{k'=1}^{(n-1)/2} D_{2k'-1,n} \right) F_{n-1,n-1} \\
&+ \sum_{q=1}^{(n-3)/2} \left(\prod_{p=0}^{q-1} D_{2p,n-1} \right) \left(\prod_{p'=0}^q D_{2p'-1,n} \right) C_{2q,n-1} + \sum_{q=1}^{(n-1)/2} \left(\prod_{p=0}^{q-1} D_{2p,n-1} \right) \left(\prod_{p'=0}^{q-1} D_{2p'-1,n} \right) C_{2q-1,n}, \quad (n; \text{odd}) \\
\varphi_\lambda(t_j) &= T_{1,j}^{-1} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n), \\
\varphi_\lambda(f_1) &:= E_{1,1}, \quad \varphi_\lambda(f_j) := E_{j,j} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} B_{i,j}, \quad (2 \leq j \leq n),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{i,j} &:= \{z_{i,j-1} z_{i,j}^{-1}\} x_{i,j} + \{z_{j+1,i} z_{j,i}^{-1}\} x_{i,j-1}^{-1} x_{i,j} x_{j,i}, \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-2), \\
C_{i,n-1} &:= \{z_{i,n-2} z_{i,n-1}^{-1}\} x_{i,n-1} + \{z_{i,n} z_{n-1,i}^{-1}\} x_{i,n-2}^{-1} x_{i,n-1} x_{n-1,i}, \quad (1 \leq i < n-1), \\
C_{i,n} &:= \{z_{i,n-2} z_{i,n}^{-1}\} x_{i,n} + \{z_{i,n-1} z_{n-1,i}^{-1}\} x_{i,n-2}^{-1} x_{i,n} x_{n-1,i}, \quad (1 \leq i < n),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{ij} &:= x_{i,j-1}^{-1} x_{i,j} x_{j+1,i}^{-1} x_{j,i}, \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-2), \\
D_{i,n-1} &:= x_{i,n-2}^{-1} x_{i,n-1} x_{i,n}^{-1} x_{n-1,i}, \quad 1 \leq i < n-1, \\
D_{in} &:= x_{i,n-2}^{-1} x_{i,n} x_{i,n-1}^{-1} x_{n-1,i}, \quad (1 \leq i < n), \quad D_{i,j} := 1, \quad \text{otherwise}, \\
F_{j,j} &:= \{z_{j,j}^{-1} x_{j,j}\}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1), \quad F_{n-1,n} := \{z_{n-1,n}^{-1} x_{n-1,n}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$T_{i,j} := \left(\prod_{k=i}^{j-1} A_{k,j} \right) T_{j,j}, \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-1),$$

$$T_{i,n} := \left(\prod_{k=i}^{n-2} A_{k,n} \right) T_{n-1,n}, \quad (1 \leq i < n),$$

$$A_{i,j} := z_{i,j-1}^{-1} z_{i,j}^2 z_{i,j+1}^{-1} z_{j+2,i}^{-1} z_{j+1,i}^2 z_{j,i}^{-1}, \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-3),$$

$$A_{i,n-2} := z_{i,n-3}^{-1} z_{i,n-2}^2 z_{i,n-1}^{-1} z_{i,n}^{-1} z_{n-1,i}^2 z_{n-2,i}^{-1}, \quad (1 \leq i < n-2),$$

$$A_{i,n-1} := z_{i,n-2}^{-1} z_{i,n-1}^2 z_{n-1,i}^{-1}, \quad (1 \leq i < n-1),$$

$$A_{i,n} := z_{i,n-2}^{-1} z_{i,n}^2 z_{n-1,i}^{-1}, \quad (1 \leq i < n),$$

$$T_{j,j} := z_{j,j}^2 z_{j,j+1}^{-1} z_{j+2,j}^{-1} z_{j+1,j}^2 z_{j+1,j+1}^{-1} z_{j+2,j+1}^{-1} \varepsilon^{\lambda_j}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-3),$$

$$T_{n-2,n-2} := z_{n-2,n-2}^2 z_{n-2,n-1}^{-1} z_{n-2,n}^{-1} z_{n-1,n-2}^2 z_{n-1,n-1}^{-1} z_{n-1,n}^{-1} \varepsilon^{\lambda_{n-2}},$$

$$T_{n-1,n-1} := z_{n-1,n-1}^2 \varepsilon^{\lambda_{n-1}}, \quad T_{n-1,n} := z_{n-1,n}^2 \varepsilon^{\lambda_n}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{i,j} &:= \{z_{i,j}z_{i,j+1}^{-1}z_{j+2,i}^{-1}z_{j+1,i}^2T_{i+1,j}\}x_{i,j}^{-1}, + \{z_{j+1,i}z_{j,i}^{-1}T_{i+1,j}\}x_{j+1,i}^{-1}, \\
&\quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-3), \\
B_{i,n-2} &:= \{z_{i,n-2}z_{i,n-1}^{-1}z_{i,n}^{-1}z_{n-1,i}^2z_{n-2,i}^{-1}T_{i+1,n-2}\}x_{i,n-2}^{-1}, + \{z_{n-1,i}z_{n-2,i}^{-1}T_{i+1,n-2}\}x_{n-1,i}^{-1}, \\
&\quad (1 \leq i < n-2), \\
B_{i,n-1} &:= \{z_{i,n-1}z_{n-1,i}^{-1}T_{i+1,n-1}\}x_{i,n-1}^{-1}, \quad (1 \leq i < n-1), \\
B_{i,n} &:= \{z_{i,n}z_{n-1,i}^{-1}T_{i+1,n}\}x_{i,n}^{-1}, \quad (1 \leq i < n), \\
E_{j,j} &:= \{z_{j,j}z_{j,j+1}^{-1}z_{j+2,j}^{-1}z_{j+1,j}^2z_{j+1,j+1}^{-1}z_{j+2,j+1}^{-1}\varepsilon^{\lambda_j}\}x_{j,j}^{-1}, \\
&\quad + \{z_{j+1,j}z_{j+1,j+1}^{-1}z_{j+2,j+1}^{-1}\varepsilon^{\lambda_j}\}x_{j+1,j}^{-1}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-3), \\
E_{n-2,n-2} &:= \{z_{n-2,n-2}z_{n-2,n-1}^{-1}z_{n-2,n}^{-1}z_{n-1,n-2}^2z_{n-1,n-1}^{-1}z_{n-1,n}^{-1}\varepsilon^{\lambda_{n-2}}\}x_{n-2,n-2}^{-1} \\
&\quad + \{z_{n-1,n-2}z_{n-1,n-1}^{-1}z_{n-1,n}^{-1}\varepsilon^{\lambda_{n-2}}\}x_{n-1,n-2}^{-1}, \\
E_{n-1,n-1} &:= \{z_{n-1,n-1}\varepsilon^{\lambda_{n-1}}\}x_{n-1,n-1}^{-1}, \quad E_{n-1,n} := \{z_{n-1,n}\varepsilon^{\lambda_n}\}x_{n-1,n}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then φ_λ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra. In particular, a pair $(\Phi_{\lambda,a,b} := \psi_{ab} \circ \varphi_\lambda, V)$ is a representation of $U_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$.

3.2 Existence and uniqueness of primitive vector in V

Specializing the parameters (a, b) properly, we show the existence and uniqueness of primitive vector in the Schnizer modules.

First, we fix the following notations to write down the action of generators of the U_ε on $(\Phi_{\lambda,a,b}, V)$. Let N be the number of positive roots. We set

$$M := \{m = (m_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq (N/n), 1 \leq j \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}^N \mid 0 \leq m_{ij} \leq l-1 \text{ for any } i, j\}.$$

For any $m = (m_{ij}) \in M$, we set $u(m) := u_{m_{11}}^{(11)} \otimes u_{m_{12}}^{(12)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{m_{N/n,n}}^{(N/n,n)} \in V$, and $\varepsilon_{ij} := (\delta_{i1}\delta_{j1}, \delta_{i1}\delta_{j2}, \dots, \delta_{i,N/n}\delta_{jn}) \in M$, where $u_k^{(ij)}$ is of Proposition 3.2, and δ_{ij} is Kronecker's delta. Obviously, $\{u(m) \mid m \in M\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of V .

Next, we show that $(\Phi_{\lambda,a,b}, V)$ has the vectors which is called "primitive vectors" by specializing the parameters (a, b) properly. First, we write the explicit action of e_j on V . Let us start from the $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ case.

3.2.1 $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ -case

Lemma 3.6. For $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 2$), $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and any $i, j \in I$, set

$$a_{ij} := 0, \quad b_{ij} := 1 - i + j \quad (i \leq j), \quad b_{ij} := 2n + 2 - i - j \quad (i > j),$$

and $a^{(0)} = (\varepsilon^{a_{ij}})_{i,j=1}^n, b^{(0)} = (\varepsilon^{b_{ij}})_{i,j=1}^n \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n^2}$. Let $(\Phi_{\lambda,a^{(0)},b^{(0)}}, V)$ be the representation as in Theorem 3.3. For $u = \sum_{m \in M} c_m u(m) \in V$ ($c_m \in \mathbb{C}$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_1.u &= \sum_{m \in M} c_m [-m_{11}]u(m - \varepsilon_{11}), \\
e_j.u &= \sum_{m \in M} c_m [-m_{jj}]u(m + \alpha_j) + \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} c_m [m_{q,j-1} - m_{qj}]u(m + \beta_{qj}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} c_m [m_{j+1,q} - m_{jq}]u(m + \beta'_{qj}), \quad (2 \leq j \leq n-1),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e_n \cdot u &= \sum_{m \in M} c_m [-2m_{nn}]_{\varepsilon^2} u(m + \alpha_n) + \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} c_m [2(m_{qn} - m_{nq})]_{\varepsilon^2} u(m + \beta_{qn}) \\
&+ \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} c_m [m_{q,n-1} - m_{nq}] u(m + \beta'_{qn}) + \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} c_m [2(m_{qn} - m_{nq})]_{\varepsilon^2} u(m + \beta''_{qn})
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_j &:= -\varepsilon_{jj} + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (-\varepsilon_{jk} + \varepsilon_{j+1,k} - \varepsilon_{kj} + \varepsilon_{k,j-1}), \quad (2 \leq j \leq n-1), \\
\alpha_n &:= -\varepsilon_{nn} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-\varepsilon_{nk} + \varepsilon_{k,n-1}), \\
\beta_{qj} &:= -\varepsilon_{qj} + \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{jp} + \varepsilon_{j+1,p} - \varepsilon_{pj} + \varepsilon_{p,j-1}), \quad (1 \leq q < j \leq n-1), \\
\beta_{qn} &:= -\varepsilon_{qn} + 2 \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{np} + \varepsilon_{p,n-1}), \quad (1 \leq q < n) \\
\beta'_{qj} &:= \varepsilon_{q,j-1} - \varepsilon_{jq} - \varepsilon_{qj} + \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{jp} + \varepsilon_{j+1,p} - \varepsilon_{pj} + \varepsilon_{p,j-1}), \\
&\quad (1 \leq q < j \leq n-1), \\
\beta'_{qn} &:= \varepsilon_{q,n-1} - \varepsilon_{nq} - \varepsilon_{qn} + 2 \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{np} + \varepsilon_{p,n-1}), \quad (1 \leq q < n), \\
\beta''_{qn} &:= 2\varepsilon_{q,n-1} - 2\varepsilon_{nq} - \varepsilon_{qn} + 2 \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{np} + \varepsilon_{p,n-1}), \quad (1 \leq q < n).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove that for any $m = (m_{ij}) \in M$, $i, j \in I$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$x_{ij} \cdot u(m) = u(m - \varepsilon_{ij}), \quad \{z_{ij}\}_{\varepsilon^d} u(m) = [d^{-1}(m_{ij} + b_{ij})]_{\varepsilon^d} u(m). \quad (3.6)$$

By (3.1),(3.2),(3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{ij} \cdot u(m) &= \varepsilon^{a_{ij}} X_{ij} (u_{m_{11}}^{(11)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{m_{ij}}^{(ij)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{m_{nn}}^{(nn)}) = u_{m_{11}}^{(11)} \otimes \cdots \otimes X u_{m_{ij}}^{(ij)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{m_{nn}}^{(nn)} \\
&= u_{m_{11}}^{(11)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{m_{ij}-1}^{(ij)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{m_{nn}}^{(nn)} = u(m - \varepsilon_{ij}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by (3.3),(3.4),(3.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
z_{ij} \cdot u(m) &= \varepsilon^{b_{ij}} z_{ij} \cdot (u_{m_{11}}^{(11)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{m_{ij}}^{(ij)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{m_{nn}}^{(nn)}) \\
&= \varepsilon^{b_{ij}} u_{m_{11}}^{(11)} \otimes \cdots \otimes Z u_{m_{ij}}^{(ij)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{m_{nn}}^{(nn)} \\
&= \varepsilon^{b_{ij}} u_{m_{11}}^{(11)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon^{m_{ij}} u_{m_{ij}}^{(ij)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{m_{nn}}^{(nn)} = \varepsilon^{b_{ij} + m_{ij}} u(m).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\{z_{ij}\}_{\varepsilon^d} u(m) &= \frac{z_{ij} - z_{ij}^{-1}}{\varepsilon^d - \varepsilon^{-d}} u(m) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d - \varepsilon^{-d}} (z_{ij} u(m) - z_{ij}^{-1} u(m)) \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^{b_{ij} + m_{ij}} - \varepsilon^{-b_{ij} - m_{ij}}}{\varepsilon^d - \varepsilon^{-d}} u(m) = [d^{-1}(m_{ij} + b_{ij})]_{\varepsilon^d} u(m).
\end{aligned}$$

We calculate the actions of e_i on $u(m)$ by using (3.6) and Theorem 3.3.

Case 1) $j = 1$: We have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_1 u &= \sum_{m \in M} c_m F_{11} u(m) = \sum_{m \in M} c_m \{z_{11}^{-1}\} x_{11} u(m) \\
&= \sum_{m \in M} c_m \{z_{11}^{-1}\} u(m - \varepsilon_{11}) = \sum_{m \in M} c_m [-(m_{11} - 1 + b_{11})] u(m - \varepsilon_{11}) \\
&= \sum_{m \in M} c_m [-(m_{11} - 1 + 1)] u(m - \varepsilon_{11}) = \sum_{m \in M} c_m [-m_{11}] u(m - \varepsilon_{11}).
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2) $2 \leq j \leq n-1$: We have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{jj} u(m) &= \{z_{jj}^{-1}\} x_{jj} u(m) = [-(m_{jj} - 1 + b_{jj})] u(m - \varepsilon_{jj}) \\
&= [-(m_{jj} - 1 + 1)] u(m - \varepsilon_{jj}) = [-m_{jj}] u(m - \varepsilon_{jj}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} D_{kj} \right) F_{jj} u(m) = [-m_{jj}] \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} x_{k,j-1}^{-1} x_{kj} x_{j+1,k}^{-1} x_{jk} \right) u(m - \varepsilon_{jj}) \\
&= [-m_{jj}] u(m - \varepsilon_{jj} + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (-\varepsilon_{jk} + \varepsilon_{j+1,k} - \varepsilon_{kj} + \varepsilon_{k,j-1})) = [-m_{jj}] u(m + \alpha_j).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any $q (1 \leq q \leq j-1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{qj} u(m) &= \{z_{qj}^{-1} z_{q,j-1}\} x_{qj} u(m) + \{z_{jq}^{-1} z_{j+1,q}\} x_{qj} x_{jq} x_{q,j-1}^{-1} u(m) \\
&= \{z_{qj}^{-1} z_{q,j-1}\} u(m - \varepsilon_{qj}) + \{z_{jq}^{-1} z_{j+1,q}\} u(m + \varepsilon_{q,j-1} - \varepsilon_{jq} - \varepsilon_{qj}) \\
&= [-(m_{qj} - 1 + b_{qj}) + (m_{q,j-1} + b_{q,j-1})] u(m - \varepsilon_{qj}) \\
&\quad + [-(m_{jq} - 1 + b_{jq}) + (m_{j+1,q} + b_{j+1,q})] u(m + \varepsilon_{q,j-1} - \varepsilon_{jq} - \varepsilon_{qj}) \\
&= [m_{q,j-1} - m_{qj}] u(m - \varepsilon_{qj}) + [m_{j+1,q} - m_{jq}] u(m + \varepsilon_{q,j-1} - \varepsilon_{jq} - \varepsilon_{qj}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to $b_{jq} = b_{qj} + 1 = b_{q,j-1} + 2$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\prod_{k=1}^{q-1} D_{pj} \right) C_{qj} u(m) = [m_{q,j-1} - m_{qj}] \left(\prod_{p=0}^{q-1} x_{p,j-1}^{-1} x_{pj} x_{j+1,p}^{-1} x_{jp} \right) u(m - \varepsilon_{qj}) \\
& \quad + [m_{j+1,q} - m_{jq}] \left(\prod_{p=0}^{q-1} x_{p,j-1}^{-1} x_{pj} x_{j+1,p}^{-1} x_{jp} \right) u(m + \varepsilon_{q,j-1} - \varepsilon_{jq} - \varepsilon_{qj}) \\
&= [m_{q,j-1} - m_{qj}] u(m - \varepsilon_{qj} + \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{jp} + \varepsilon_{j+1,p} - \varepsilon_{pj} + \varepsilon_{p,j-1})) \\
& \quad + [m_{q,j-1} - m_{qj}] u(m - \varepsilon_{qj} - \varepsilon_{jq} + \varepsilon_{q,j-1} + \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{jp} + \varepsilon_{j+1,p} - \varepsilon_{pj} + \varepsilon_{p,j-1})) \\
&= [m_{q,j-1} - m_{qj}] u(m + \beta_{qj}) + [m_{j+1,q} - m_{jq}] u(m + \beta'_{qj}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
e_j \cdot u &= \sum_{m \in M} c_m \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} D_{kj} \right) F_{jj} u(m) + \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} c_m \left(\prod_{p=0}^{q-1} D_{pj} \right) C_{qj} u(m) \\
&= \sum_{m \in M} c_m [-m_{jj}] u(m + \alpha_j) + \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} c_m [m_{q,j-1} - m_{qj}] u(m + \beta_{qj}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} c_m [m_{j+1,q} - m_{jq}] u(m + \beta'_{jq}).
\end{aligned}$$

The case $j = n$ is shown by the similar way to Case 2. $\square$

Next, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the primitive vector.

Proposition 3.7. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 2$), and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, set $a^{(0)} = (\varepsilon^{a_{ij}}), b^{(0)} = (\varepsilon^{b_{ij}})$ as Lemma 3.6, and let $(\Phi_{\lambda, a^{(0)}, b^{(0)}}, V)$ be the representation as in Theorem 3.3. A vector $u \in V$ satisfies that $e_i u = 0$ for any $i \in I$ if and only if $u \in \mathbb{C}u(0)$, where $u(0) = u(0, \dots, 0) \in V$. (We call these vectors “primitive vectors”).

Proof “If part” is obvious by Lemma 3.6. So we prove “only if part”. First, we define $\{r_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n^2} (= I \times I)$ inductively as follows: $r_1 := (1, 1)$ and if $r_s = (i, j)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{s+1} &:= (i, j+1) \quad (1 \leq j < n, 1 \leq i \leq j+1), \\
r_{s+1} &:= (n, i) \quad (1 \leq i < j = n), \\
r_{s+1} &:= (i-1, j) \quad (1 \leq j < n, j+1 < i \leq n).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

And we define $M_s := \{m \in M \mid m_{r_1} = m_{r_2} = \dots = m_{r_s} = 0\}$ ($1 \leq s \leq n^2$). So we have

$$\{(0)\} = M_{n^2} \subset M_{n^2-1} \subset \dots \subset M_1 \subset M.$$

Now, assume that $e_i u = 0$ for any $i \in I$ and set $u = \sum_{m \in M} c_m u(m) \in V$ ($c_m \in \mathbb{C}$). We shall prove that $u = \sum_{m \in M_s} c_m u(m)$ for any $1 \leq s \leq n^2$ by induction on s . Indeed, if we can prove this, then we have $u = \sum_{m \in M_{n^2}} c_m u(m) = c_0 u(0) \in \mathbb{C}u(0)$.

Since $e_1 u = 0$, by Lemma 3.6, we have $0 = \sum_{m \in M} c_m [-m_{11}] u(m - \varepsilon_{11})$. Since the vectors $\{u(m - \varepsilon_{11}) \mid m \in M\}$ are linearly independent, $c_m [-m_{11}] = 0$ for any $m \in M$. Therefore if $0 \neq m_{11} (= m_{r_1})$, then $c_m = 0$. Hence $u = \sum_{m \in M_1} c_m u(m)$. Now we assume that $u = \sum_{m \in M_s} c_m u(m)$ for $1 \leq s < n^2$, and $r_s = (i, j)$.

Case 1) $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-2$:

In this case $r_{s+1} = (i, j+1)$. Let $m \in M_s$ then

$$m_{qj} = m_{q,j+1} = m_{j+2,q} = m_{j+1,q} = 0 \quad (1 \leq q \leq i-1).$$

Since $e_j u = 0$, by Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= e_{j+1} u = \\
&\sum_{m \in M} c_m [-m_{j+1,j+1}] u(m + \alpha_{j+1}) + \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=i}^j c_m [m_{qj} - m_{q,j+1}] u(m + \beta_{q,j+1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=i}^j c_m [m_{j+2,q} - m_{j+1,q}] u(m + \beta'_{q,j+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.6, for any $m \in M_s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(m + \alpha_{j+1})_{ij} &= (m - \varepsilon_{j+1,j+1} + \sum_{k=1}^j (-\varepsilon_{j+1,k} - \varepsilon_{k,j+1} + \varepsilon_{kj}))_{ij} = (m + \sum_{k=1}^j \varepsilon_{kj})_{ij} \\
&= (m + \varepsilon_{ij})_{ij} = m_{ij} + 1 = 1,
\end{aligned}$$

since $m \in M_s, 0 = m_{r_s} = m_{ij}$. Similarly, for any $q(i \leq q \leq j)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(m + \beta_{q,j+1})_{ij} &= (m - \varepsilon_{q,j+1} + \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{j+1,p} + \varepsilon_{j+2,p} - \varepsilon_{p,j+1} + \varepsilon_{pj}))_{ij} = (\sum_{p=0}^{q-1} \varepsilon_{pj})_{ij} \\
(m + \beta'_{q,j+1})_{ij} &= (m - \varepsilon_{q,j+1} - \varepsilon_{j+1,q} + \varepsilon_{qj} + \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{j+1,p} + \varepsilon_{j+2,p} - \varepsilon_{p,j+1} + \varepsilon_{pj}))_{ij} \\
&= (\sum_{p=0}^q \varepsilon_{pj})_{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(m + \alpha_{j+1})_{ij} &= 1, & (m + \beta_{q,j+1})_{ij} &= 1 \quad (i+1 \leq q \leq j), \\
(m + \beta_{q,j+1})_{ij} &= 0 \quad (q = i), & (m + \beta'_{q,j+1})_{ij} &= 1 \quad (i \leq q \leq j).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m \in M_s} \mathbb{C}u(m + \alpha_{j+1}) + \sum_{m \in M_s} \sum_{q=i}^j \mathbb{C}u(m + \beta_{q,j+1}) + \sum_{m \in M_s} \sum_{q=i}^j \mathbb{C}u(m + \beta'_{q,j+1}) \\
&= \{ \bigoplus_{m \in M_s} \mathbb{C}u(m + \beta_{i,j+1}) \} \bigoplus \{ \sum_{m \in M_s} \mathbb{C}u(m + \alpha_{j+1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{m \in M_s} \sum_{q=i+1}^j \mathbb{C}u(m + \beta_{q,j+1}) + \sum_{m \in M_s} \sum_{q=i}^j \mathbb{C}u(m + \beta'_{q,j+1}) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then since the vectors $\{u(m + \beta_{i,j+1}) \mid m \in M_s\}$ are linearly independent, we have that $0 = c_m[m_{ij} - m_{i,j+1}] = c_m[-m_{i,j+1}]$ for any $m \in M_s$, which implies if $0 \neq m_{i,j+1} = m_{r_{s+1}}$ then $c_m = 0$. Therefore $u = \sum_{m \in M_{s+1}} c_m u(m)$.

Case 2) $1 \leq i \leq j = n-1$:

In this case, $r_s = (i, n)$. Let $m \in M$ then

$$m_{qn} = m_{nq} = m_{q,n-1} = 0 \quad (1 \leq q \leq i-1).$$

Thus, since $e_n u = 0$, by Lemma 3.6

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= e_n u \\
&= \sum_{m \in M_s} c_m [-2m_{nn}]_{\varepsilon^2} u(m + \alpha_n) + \sum_{m \in M_s} \sum_{q=i}^{n-1} c_m [2(m_{qn} - m_{nq})]_{\varepsilon^2} u(m + \beta_{qn}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{m \in M_s} \sum_{q=i}^{n-1} c_m [m_{q,n-1} - m_{nq}] u(m + \beta'_{qn}) + \sum_{m \in M_s} \sum_{q=i}^{n-1} c_m [2(m_{qn} - m_{nq})]_{\varepsilon^2} u(m + \beta''_{qn}).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the similar way to the Case 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(m + \alpha_n)_{i,n-1} &= 2, & (m + \beta_{qn})_{i,n-1} &= 2 \quad (i+1 \leq q \leq n-1), \\
(m + \beta_{qn})_{i,n-1} &= 0 \quad (q = i), & (m + \beta'_{qn})_{i,n-1} &= 2 \quad (i+1 \leq q \leq n-1), \\
(m + \beta'_{qn})_{i,n-1} &= 1 \quad (q = i), & (m + \beta''_{qn})_{i,n-1} &= 2 \quad (i \leq q \leq n-1).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m \in M_s} \mathbb{C}u(m + \alpha_n) + \sum_{m \in M_s} \sum_{q=i}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}u(m + \beta_{qn}) + \sum_{m \in M_s} \sum_{q=i}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}u(m + \beta'_{qn}) + \sum_{m \in M_s} \sum_{q=i}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}u(m + \beta''_{qn}) \\
&= \{ \bigoplus_{m \in M_s} \mathbb{C}u(m + \beta_{in}) \} \bigoplus \{ \bigoplus_{m \in M_s} \mathbb{C}u(m + \beta'_{in}) \} \bigoplus \{ \sum_{m \in M_s} \mathbb{C}u(m + \alpha_n) + \sum_{m \in M_s} \sum_{q=i+1}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}u(m + \beta_{qn}) \\
&+ \sum_{m \in M_s} \sum_{q=i+1}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}u(m + \beta'_{qn}) + \sum_{m \in M_s} \sum_{q=i}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}u(m + \beta''_{qn}) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by the linearly independence of the vectors $\{u(m + \beta_{i,n}) \mid m \in M_s\}$, $\{u(m + \beta'_{i,n}) \mid m \in M_s\}$, we have that $0 = c_m[2(m_{in} - m_{ni})] = c_m[m_{i,n-1} - m_{ni}] = c_m[-m_{ni}]$ for any $m \in M_s$. Thus if $m_{in} \neq 0$ or $m_{ni} \neq 0$, then $c_m = 0$ for any $m \in M_s$. Therefore $u = \sum_{m \in M_{s+2}} c_m u(m) = \sum_{m \in M_{s+1}} c_m u(m)$.

Case 3) $1 \leq i \leq j = n$. This case is shown by the similar way to Case 2.

Case 4) $1 \leq j < i$ and $j < i - 1$:

In this case $r_{s+1} = (i - 1, j)$. For $m \in M_s$, we have

$$m_{q,i-2} = m_{q,i-2} = 0 \quad (1 \leq q \leq j), \quad m_{iq} = m_{i-1,q} = 0 \quad (1 \leq q \leq j - 1).$$

Since $e_{i-1}u = 0$, by Lemma 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= e_{i-1}u = \\
& \sum_{m \in M_s} c_m[-m_{i-1,i-1}]u(m + \alpha_{i-1}) + \sum_{m \in M_s} \sum_{q=j+1}^{i-2} c_m[m_{q,i-2} - m_{q,i-1}]u(m + \beta_{q,i-1}) \\
&+ \sum_{m \in M_s} \sum_{q=j}^{i-2} c_m[m_{iq} - m_{i-1,q}]u(m + \beta'_{q,i-1})
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
(m + \alpha_{i-1})_{ij} &= 1, & (m + \beta_{q,i-1})_{ij} &= 1 \quad (j + 1 \leq q \leq i - 2), \\
(m + \beta'_{q,i-1})_{ij} &= 1 \quad (j + 1 \leq q \leq i - 2), & (m + \beta'_{q,i-1})_{ij} &= 0 \quad (q = j).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $0 = c_m[m_{ij} - m_{i-1,j}] = c_m[-m_{i-1,j}] = 0$ for any $m \in M_s$. Therefore if $0 \neq m_{i-1,j} = m_{r_{s+1}}$ then $c_m = 0$ for any $m \in M_s$. So $u = \sum_{m \in M_s} c_m u(m)$.

Case 5) $1 \leq j < i \leq n$ and $j = i - 1$:

In this case $r_{s+1} = (i, i)$. For $m \in M_s$, we have

$$m_{q,i-1} = m_{qi} = m_{iq} = m_{i+1,q} = 0 \quad (1 \leq q \leq i - 1)$$

Since $e_i u = 0$, by Lemma 3.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= e_i u = \sum_{m \in M_s} c_m[-m_{ii}]u(m + \alpha_i) \quad (i \neq n) \\
0 &= e_n u = \sum_{m \in M_s} c_m[-2m_{nn}]_{\varepsilon^2} u(m + \alpha_n) \quad (i = n)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $m_{ii} \neq 0$ then $c_m = 0$ for any $m \in M_s$. So $u = \sum_{m \in M_{s+1}} c_m u(m)$. $\square$

3.2.2 $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$ -case

By the similar way to the proof of Lemma 3.6 and Proposition 3.7, we can prove the following lemma and proposition.

Lemma 3.8. For $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 3$), $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and any $i, j \in I$, set

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &:= 0, & b_{ij} &:= 1 - i + j \quad (i \leq j \leq n-1), \\ b_{in} &:= 2n+1-2i, & b_{ij} &:= 2n+1-i-j \quad (i > j), \end{aligned}$$

and $a^{(0)} := (\varepsilon^{a_{ij}})_{i,j=1}^n, b^{(0)} := (\varepsilon^{b_{ij}})_{i,j=1}^n \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n^2}$. Let $(\Phi_{\lambda, a^{(0)}, b^{(0)}}, V)$ be the representation of Theorem 3.4.

For $u = \sum_{m \in M} c_m u(m) \in V$ ($c_m \in \mathbb{C}$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \cdot u &= \sum_{m \in M} c_m [-2m_{11}]_{\varepsilon^2} u(m - \varepsilon_{11}), \\ e_j \cdot u &= \sum_{m \in M} c_m [-2m_{jj}]_{\varepsilon^2} u(m + \alpha_j) + \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} c_m [2(m_{q,j-1} - m_{qj})]_{\varepsilon^2} u(m + \beta_{qj}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} c_m [2(m_{j+1,q} - m_{jq})]_{\varepsilon^2} u(m + \beta'_{qj}), \quad (2 \leq j \leq n-1), \\ e_n \cdot u &= \sum_{m \in M} c_m [-m_{nn}] u(m + \alpha_n) + \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} c_m [2m_{q,n-1} - m_{qn}] u(m + \beta_{qn}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} c_m [m_{qn} - 2m_{nq}] u(m + \beta'_{qn}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j &:= -\varepsilon_{jj} + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (-\varepsilon_{jk} + \varepsilon_{j+1,k} - \varepsilon_{kj} + \varepsilon_{k,j-1}), \quad (2 \leq j \leq n-1), \\ \alpha_n &:= -\varepsilon_{nn} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-\varepsilon_{nk} + \varepsilon_{k,n-1}), \\ \beta_{qj} &:= -\varepsilon_{qj} + \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{jp} + \varepsilon_{j+1,p} - \varepsilon_{pj} + \varepsilon_{p,j-1}), \quad (1 \leq q < j \leq n-1), \\ \beta_{qn} &:= -\varepsilon_{qn} + \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{np} + \varepsilon_{p,n-1}), \quad (1 \leq q < n), \\ \beta'_{qj} &:= \varepsilon_{q,j-1} - \varepsilon_{jq} - \varepsilon_{qj} + \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{jp} + \varepsilon_{j+1,p} - \varepsilon_{pj} + \varepsilon_{p,j-1}), \quad (1 \leq q < j \leq n-1), \\ \beta'_{qn} &:= \varepsilon_{q,n-1} - \varepsilon_{nq} - \varepsilon_{qn} + \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{np} + \varepsilon_{p,n-1}), \quad (1 \leq q < n). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.9. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 3$) and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, set $a^{(0)} = (\varepsilon^{a_{ij}}), b^{(0)} = (\varepsilon^{b_{ij}})$ as Lemma 3.8, and let $(\Phi_{\lambda, a^{(0)}, b^{(0)}}, V)$ be the representation as in Theorem 3.4. A vector $u = \sum_{m \in M} c_m u(m) \in V$ ($c_m \in \mathbb{C}$) satisfies the condition $e_i u = 0$ for any $i \in I$ if and only if $u \in \mathbb{C}u(0)$.

Sketch of the proof. “If part” is obvious from Lemma 3.8. So we prove “only part”. We define $\{r_s\}_{s=1}^{n^2}$ by the same way as (3.7) and $M_s = \{m \in M | m_{r_1} = \cdots = m_{r_s} = 0\}$ for any $s(1 \leq s \leq n^2)$. Now we prove that $u = \sum_{m \in M_s} c_m u(m)$ for any $s(1 \leq s \leq n^2)$ by induction on s . By using $e_1 u = 0$, we can prove $u = \sum_{m \in M_1} c_m u(m)$. Next, we assume that $u = \sum_{m \in M_s} c_m u(m)$ for a $s(1 \leq s < n^2)$ and $r_s = (i, j)$. Then we prove by the similar way to the proof of Proposition 3.7.

Case 1) $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1$:

We use $e_{j+1} u = 0$ and for any $m \in M_s$,

$$\begin{aligned} (m + \alpha_{j+1})_{ij} &= 1, & (m + \beta_{q,j+1})_{ij} &= 1 \quad (q > i), \\ (m + \beta_{q,j+1})_{ij} &= 0 \quad (q = i), & (m + \beta'_{q,j+1})_{ij} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2) $1 \leq i \leq j = n$:

We use $e_n u = 0$ and for any $m \in M_s$,

$$\begin{aligned} (m + \alpha_n)_{in} &= 0, & (m + \beta_{qn})_{in} &= 0 \quad (q > i), \\ (m + \beta'_{qn})_{in} &= 0 \quad (q > i), & (m + \beta'_{qn})_{in} &= l-1 \quad (q = i). \end{aligned}$$

Case 3) $1 \leq j < i-1 < n$:

We use $e_{j+1} u = 0$ and for any $m \in M_s$,

$$\begin{aligned} (m + \alpha_{i-1})_{ij} &= 1, & (m + \beta_{q,i-1})_{ij} &= 1 \quad (q \geq j+1), \\ (m + \beta'_{q,i-1})_{ij} &= 1 \quad (q \geq j+1), & (m + \beta'_{q,i-1})_{ij} &= 0 \quad (q = j). \end{aligned}$$

Case 4) $1 \leq j = i-1 < n$: We use $e_{j+1} u = 0$. □

3.2.3 $\mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ -case

By the similar way to the proof of Lemma 3.6 and Proposition 3.7, we can prove the following lemma and proposition.

Lemma 3.10. For $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 4$), $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and any $i, j \in I$, set

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &:= 0, & b_{ij} &:= 1 - i + j \quad (i \leq j \leq n-1), \\ b_{in} &:= n - i, & b_{ij} &:= 2n - i - j \quad (j < i \leq n-1), \end{aligned}$$

and $a^{(0)} := (\varepsilon^{a_{ij}})_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq n}$, $b^{(0)} := (\varepsilon^{b_{ij}})_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq n} \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n(n-1)}$. Let $(\Phi_{\lambda, a^{(0)}, b^{(0)}}, V)$ be the representation as in Theorem 3.5. For $u = \sum_{m \in M} c_m u(m) \in V$ ($c_m \in \mathbb{C}$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \cdot u &= \sum_{m \in M} c_m [-m_{11}]_{\varepsilon^2} u(m - \varepsilon_{11}), \\ e_j \cdot u &= \sum_{m \in M} c_m [-m_{jj}]_{\varepsilon^2} u(m + \alpha_j) + \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} c_m [m_{q,j-1} - m_{qj}] u(m + \beta_{qj}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} c_m [m_{j+1,q} - m_{jq}] u(m + \beta'_{qj}), \quad (2 \leq j \leq n-1), \\ e_{n-1} \cdot u &= \sum_{m \in M} c_m [-m_{n-1,n-1}] u(m + \alpha_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{n/2-1} \{c_m [m_{2q-1,n-2} - m_{2q-1,n-1}] u(m + \beta_{q,n-1}) + c_m [m_{2q-1,n} - m_{n-1,2q-1}] u(m + \beta'_{q,n-1}) \\ &\quad + c_m [m_{2q,n-2} - m_{2q,n}] u(m + \gamma_{q,n-1}) + c_m [m_{2q,n-1} - m_{n-1,2q}] u(m + \gamma'_{q,n-1})\}, \quad (n; \text{even}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e_n \cdot u &= \sum_{m \in M} c_m[-m_{n-1,n}]u(m + \alpha_n) \\
&+ \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{n/2-1} \{c_m[m_{2q,n-2} - m_{2q,n-1}]u(m + \beta_{q,n}) + c_m[m_{2q,n} - m_{n-1,2q}]u(m + \beta'_{q,n}) \\
&+ c_m[m_{2q-1,n-2} - m_{2q-1,n}]u(m + \gamma_{q,n}) + c_m[m_{2q-1,n-1} - m_{n-1,2q-1}]u(m + \gamma'_{q,n})\}, \quad (n; \text{even})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{n-1} \cdot u &= \sum_{m \in M} c_m[-m_{n-1,n}]u(m + \alpha_{n-1}) \\
&+ \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{(n-1)/2-1} c_m[m_{2q-1,n-2} - m_{2q-1,n-1}]u(m + \beta_{q,n-1}) \\
&+ \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{(n-1)/2} c_m[m_{2q-1,n} - m_{n-1,2q-1}]u(m + \beta'_{q,n-1}) \\
&+ \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{(n-3)/2} \{c_m[m_{2q,n-2} - m_{2q,n}]u(m + \gamma_{q,n-1}) + c_m[m_{2q,n-1} - m_{n-1,2q}]u(m + \gamma'_{q,n-1})\}, \quad (n; \text{odd})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e_n \cdot u &= \sum_{m \in M} c_m[-m_{n-1,n-1}]u(m + \alpha_n) \\
&+ \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{(n-3)/2} \{c_m[m_{2q,n-2} - m_{2q,n-1}]u(m + \beta_{q,n}) + c_m[m_{2q,n} - m_{n-1,2q}]u(m + \beta'_{q,n}) \\
&+ \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{q=1}^{(n-1)/2} \{c_m[m_{2q-1,n-2} - m_{2q-1,n}]u(m + \gamma_{q,n}) + c_m[m_{2q-1,n-1} - m_{n-1,2q-1}]u(m + \gamma'_{q,n})\}, \quad (n; \text{odd})
\end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_j &= -\varepsilon_{jj} + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (-\varepsilon_{jk} + \varepsilon_{j+1,k} - \varepsilon_{kj} + \varepsilon_{k,j-1}), \quad (2 \leq j \leq n-2), \\
\alpha_{n-1} &= -\varepsilon_{n-1,n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n/2-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2k-1} + \varepsilon_{2k-1,n} - \varepsilon_{2k-1,n-1} + \varepsilon_{2k-1,n-2}) \\
&+ \sum_{k'=1}^{n/2-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2k'} + \varepsilon_{2k',n-1} - \varepsilon_{2k',n} + \varepsilon_{2k',n-2}), \quad (n; \text{even}) \\
\alpha_n &= -\varepsilon_{n-1,n} + \sum_{k=1}^{n/2-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2k-1} + \varepsilon_{2k-1,n-1} - \varepsilon_{2k-1,n} + \varepsilon_{2k-1,n-2}) \\
&+ \sum_{k'=1}^{n/2-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2k'} + \varepsilon_{2k',n-1} - \varepsilon_{2k',n} + \varepsilon_{2k',n-2}), \quad (n; \text{even})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{n-1} &= -\varepsilon_{n-1,n} + \sum_{k=1}^{(n-3)/2} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2k} + \varepsilon_{2k,n-1} - \varepsilon_{2k,n} + \varepsilon_{2k,n-2}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k'=1}^{(n-1)/2} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2k'-1} + \varepsilon_{2k'-1,n} - \varepsilon_{2k'-1,n-1} + \varepsilon_{2k'-1,n-2}), \quad (n; odd) \\
\alpha_n &= -\varepsilon_{n-1,n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2k-1} + \varepsilon_{2k-1,n-1} - \varepsilon_{2k-1,n} + \varepsilon_{2k-1,n-2}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k'=1}^{(n-3)/2} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2k'} + \varepsilon_{2k',n} - \varepsilon_{2k',n-1} + \varepsilon_{2k',n-2}), \quad (n; odd) \\
\beta_{qj} &= -\varepsilon_{qj} + \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{jp} + \varepsilon_{j+1,p} - \varepsilon_{pj} + \varepsilon_{p,j-1}), \quad (1 \leq q < j \leq n-2), \\
\beta_{q,n-1} &= -\varepsilon_{2q-1,n-1} + \sum_{p'=1}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2p'} + \varepsilon_{2p',n-1} - \varepsilon_{2p',n} + \varepsilon_{2p',n-2}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p=1}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2p-1} + \varepsilon_{2p-1,n} - \varepsilon_{2p-1,n-1} + \varepsilon_{2p-1,n-2}), \\
&\quad (n; even, 1 \leq q < n/2 - 1), \quad (n; odd, 1 \leq q < (n-1)/2 - 1), \\
\beta_{qn} &= -\varepsilon_{2q,n-1} + \sum_{p'=1}^q (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2p'-1} + \varepsilon_{2p'-1,n-1} - \varepsilon_{2p'-1,n} + \varepsilon_{2p'-1,n-2}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p=1}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2p} + \varepsilon_{2p,n} - \varepsilon_{2p,n-1} + \varepsilon_{2p,n-2}), \\
&\quad (n; even, 1 \leq q < n/2 - 1), \quad (n; odd, 1 \leq q < (n-3)/2 - 1), \\
\beta'_{qj} &= -\varepsilon_{qj} - \varepsilon_{jq} + \varepsilon_{q,j-1} + \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{jp} + \varepsilon_{j+1,p} - \varepsilon_{pj} + \varepsilon_{p,j-1}), \quad (1 \leq q < j \leq n-2), \\
\beta'_{q,n-1} &= -\varepsilon_{2q-1,n-1} - \varepsilon_{n-1,2q-1} + \varepsilon_{2q-1,n-2} + \sum_{p'=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2p'} + \varepsilon_{2p',n-1} - \varepsilon_{2p',n} + \varepsilon_{2p',n-2}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2p-1} + \varepsilon_{2p-1,n} - \varepsilon_{2p-1,n-1} + \varepsilon_{2p-1,n-2}), \\
&\quad (n; even, 1 \leq q < n/2 - 1), \quad (n; odd, 1 \leq q < (n-1)/2 - 1), \\
\beta'_{qn} &= -\varepsilon_{2q,n-1} - \varepsilon_{n-1,2q} + \varepsilon_{2q,n-2} + \sum_{p'=0}^q (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2p'-1} + \varepsilon_{2p'-1,n-1} - \varepsilon_{2p'-1,n} + \varepsilon_{2p'-1,n-2}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2p} + \varepsilon_{2p,n} - \varepsilon_{2p,n-1} + \varepsilon_{2p,n-2}), \\
&\quad (n; even, 1 \leq q < n/2 - 1), \quad (n; odd, 1 \leq q < (n-3)/2 - 1),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{q,n-1} &= -\varepsilon_{2q,n} + \sum_{p'=1}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2p'} + \varepsilon_{2p',n-1} - \varepsilon_{2p',n} + \varepsilon_{2p',n-2}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p=1}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2p-1} + \varepsilon_{2p-1,n} - \varepsilon_{2p-1,n-1} + \varepsilon_{2p-1,n-2}), \\
&\quad (n; \text{even}, 1 \leq q < n/2 - 1), \quad (n; \text{odd}, 1 \leq q < (n-3)/2 - 1), \\
\gamma_{qn} &= -\varepsilon_{2q-1,n-1} + \sum_{p'=1}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2p'-1} + \varepsilon_{2p'-1,n-1} - \varepsilon_{2p'-1,n} + \varepsilon_{2p'-1,n-2}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p=1}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2p} + \varepsilon_{2p,n} - \varepsilon_{2p,n-1} + \varepsilon_{2p,n-2}), \\
&\quad (n; \text{even}, 1 \leq q < n/2 - 1), \quad (n; \text{odd}, 1 \leq q < (n-1)/2 - 1), \\
\gamma'_{q,n-1} &= -\varepsilon_{2q,n-1} - \varepsilon_{n-1,2q} + \varepsilon_{2q,n-2} + \sum_{p'=1}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2p'} + \varepsilon_{2p',n-1} - \varepsilon_{2p',n} + \varepsilon_{2p',n-2}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p=1}^q (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2p-1} + \varepsilon_{2p-1,n} - \varepsilon_{2p-1,n-1} + \varepsilon_{2p-1,n-2}), \\
&\quad (n; \text{even}, 1 \leq q < n/2 - 1), \quad (n; \text{odd}, 1 \leq q < (n-3)/2 - 1), \\
\gamma'_{qn} &= -\varepsilon_{2q-1,n} - \varepsilon_{n-1,2q-1} + \varepsilon_{2q-1,n-2} + \sum_{p'=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2p'-1} + \varepsilon_{2p'-1,n-1} - \varepsilon_{2p'-1,n} + \varepsilon_{2p'-1,n-2}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} (-\varepsilon_{n-1,2p} + \varepsilon_{2p,n} - \varepsilon_{2p,n-1} + \varepsilon_{2p,n-2}), \\
&\quad (n; \text{even}, 1 \leq q < n/2 - 1), \quad (n; \text{odd}, 1 \leq q < (n-1)/2 - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.11. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 4$) and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, set $a^{(0)} = (\varepsilon^{a_{ij}})$, $b^0 = (\varepsilon^{b_{ij}})$ as Lemma 3.10, and $(\Phi_{\lambda, a^{(0)}, b^0}, V)$ be the representation as in Theorem 3.5. A vector $u = \sum_{m \in M} c_m u(m) \in V$ ($c_m \in \mathbb{C}$) satisfies the condition $e_i u = 0$ for any $i \in I$ if and only if $u \in \mathbb{C}u(0)$.

Sketch of the proof. “If part” is obvious from Lemma 3.10. So we prove “only part”. We define $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{n(n-1)}$ by the similar way to the previous cases: $r_1 := (1, 1)$ and if $r_s = (i, j)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{s+1} &:= (i, j+1) \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n-1, 1 \leq i \leq j+1), \\
r_{s+1} &:= (n, i) \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1, j = n), \\
r_{s+1} &:= (i-1, j) \quad (1 \leq j < n-1, j+1 \leq i \leq n-1).
\end{aligned}$$

We set $M_s = \{m \in M \mid m_{r_1} = \dots = m_{r_s} = 0\}$ for any s ($1 \leq s \leq n(n-1)$).

Now we prove that $u = \sum_{m \in M_s} c_m u(m)$ for any $1 \leq s \leq n(n-1)$ by induction on s . By using $e_1 u = 0$, we can prove $u = \sum_{m \in M_1} c_m u(m)$. Next, we assume that $u = \sum_{m \in M_s} c_m u(m)$ for a s ($1 \leq s < n(n-1)$). There exist a pair (i, j) such that $(i, j) \neq (n-1, n)$ and $r_s^m = m_{ij}$ for any $m \in M_s$. Then we prove by the similar manner to the proof of Proposition 3.7.

Case 1) $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-3$:

We use $e_{j+1} u = 0$ and for any $m \in M_s$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(m + \alpha_{j+1})_{ij} &= 1, \quad (m + \beta_{q,j+1})_{ij} = 1 \quad (q > i), \\
(m + \beta_{q,j+1})_{ij} &= 0 \quad (q = i), \quad (m + \beta'_{q,j+1})_{ij} = 1 \quad (q \geq i).
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2.1 $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-2$ and $i = 2i' + 1$:

We use $e_{n-1}u = 0$ and for any $m \in M_s$,

$$\begin{aligned} (m + \alpha_{n-1})_{i,n-2} &= 1, & (m + \beta_{q,n-1})_{i,n-2} &= 1 \quad (q > i'), \\ (m + \beta_{q,n-1})_{i,n-2} &= 0 \quad (q = i'), & (m + \beta'_{q,n-1})_{i,n-2} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i'), \\ q(m + \gamma_{q,n-1})_{i,n-2} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i'), & (m + \gamma'_{q,n-1})_{i,n-2} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i' + 1), \end{aligned}$$

and we use $e_n u = 0$ and for any $m \in M_s$,

$$\begin{aligned} (m + \alpha_n)_{i,n-2} &= 1, & (m + \beta_{qn})_{i,n-2} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i'), \\ (m + \beta'_{qn})_{i,n-2} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i'), & (m + \gamma_{qn})_{i,n-2} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i' + 1), \\ (m + \gamma_{qn})_{i,n-2} &= 0 \quad (q = i'), & (m + \gamma'_{qn})_{i,n-2} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i'). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.2) $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-2$ and $i = 2i'$:

We use $e_{n-1}u = 0$ and for any $m \in M_s$,

$$\begin{aligned} (m + \alpha_{n-1})_{i,n-2} &= 1, & (m + \beta_{q,n-1})_{i,n-2} &= 1 \quad (q > i' + 1), \\ (m + \beta'_{q,n-1})_{i,n-2} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i' + 1), & (m + \gamma_{q,n-1})_{i,n-2} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i' + 1), \\ (m + \gamma_{q,n-1})_{i,n-2} &= 0 \quad (q = i'), & (m + \gamma'_{q,n-1})_{i,n-2} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i'), \end{aligned}$$

and we use $e_n u = 0$ and for any $m \in M_s$,

$$\begin{aligned} (m + \alpha_n)_{i,n-2} &= 1, & (m + \beta_{qn})_{i,n-2} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i' + 1), \\ (m + \beta_{qn})_{i,n-2} &= 0 \quad (q = i'), & (m + \beta'_{qn})_{i,n-2} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i'), \\ (m + \gamma_{qn})_{i,n-2} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i' + 1), & (m + \gamma'_{qn})_{i,n-2} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i' + 1). \end{aligned}$$

From this, in particular, we also have the Case 3) $1 \leq i \leq j = n-1$.

Case 4.1) $1 \leq i \leq j = n$ and $i = 2i' + 1$:

We use $e_{n-1}u = 0$ and for any $m \in M_s$,

$$\begin{aligned} (m + \alpha_{n-1})_{i,n} &= 1, & (m + \beta_{q,n-1})_{i,n} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i'), \\ (m + \beta'_{q,n-1})_{i,n} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i' + 1), & (m + \beta'_{q,n-1})_{i,n} &= 0 \quad (q = i'), \\ (m + \gamma_{q,n-1})_{i,n} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i'), & (m + \gamma'_{q,n-1})_{i,n} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i'). \end{aligned}$$

Case 4.2) $1 \leq i \leq j = n$ and $i = 2i'$:

We use $e_n u = 0$ and for any $m \in M_s$,

$$\begin{aligned} (m + \alpha_n)_{i,n} &= 1, & (m + \beta_{qn})_{i,n} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i'), \\ (m + \beta'_{qn})_{i,n} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i' + 1), & (m + \beta'_{qn})_{i,n} &= 0 \quad (q = i'), \\ (m + \gamma_{qn})_{i,n} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i'), & (m + \gamma'_{qn})_{i,n} &= 1 \quad (q \geq i'). \end{aligned}$$

Case 5) $1 \leq j < i-1 < n-1$:

We use $e_{i-1}u = 0$ and for any $m \in M_s$,

$$\begin{aligned} (m + \alpha_{i-1})_{ij} &= 1, & (m + \beta_{q,i-1})_{ij} &= 1 \quad (q \geq j), \\ (m + \beta'_{q,i-1})_{ij} &= 1 \quad (q \geq j + 1), & (m + \beta'_{q,i-1})_{ij} &= 0 \quad (q = j), \\ (m + \gamma_{q,i-1})_{ij} &= 1 \quad (q \geq j), & (m + \gamma'_{q,i-1})_{ij} &= 1 \quad (q \geq j). \end{aligned}$$

Case 6) $1 \leq j = i-1 < n-1$: We use $e_i u = 0$. □

4 Irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$

We keep the settings and notations as in Sect.2 and 3.

4.1 Restricted specializations

In this subsection, we introduce the restricted specializations and its properties.

Definition 4.1. Let $A := \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$ and U_A^{res} be the A -subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $\{e_i^{(k)}, f_i^{(k)}, t_i^{\pm 1} | i \in I, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$. Let l be an odd integer greater than 3 and ε be a primitive l -th root of unity such that $\varepsilon^{2d_i} \neq 1$ for any $i \in I$. We regard $\mathbb{C}$ as A -algebra by $f(q).c := f(\varepsilon) \cdot c$ for any $f(q) \in A, c \in \mathbb{C}$ and we denote it by $\mathbb{C}_\varepsilon$. We define

$$U_\varepsilon^{\text{res}} := U_A^{\text{res}} \otimes_A \mathbb{C}_\varepsilon,$$

which is called “restricted specialization of U_ε ”. Similarly, we define $(U_\varepsilon^{\text{res}})^+, (U_\varepsilon^{\text{res}})^-, (U_\varepsilon^{\text{res}})^0$. We denote $u \otimes 1$ as u for any $u \in U_A^{\text{res}}$. Let $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ be the subalgebra of $U_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}$ generated by $\{e_i, f_i, t_i^{\pm 1}\}_{i=1}^n$ (Similarly, we define $(U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}})^+, (U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}})^-$ and $(U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}})^0$).

Next we review the representation theory of $U_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}$.

Definition 4.2. Let L be a finite dimensional $U_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}$ -module. If $t_i^l v = v$ for any $v \in V, i \in I$ (that is, t_i^l is identity map), we call L “ $U_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}$ -module of type 1”.

Remark. ([9]) In general finite dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}$ -modules are divided into 2^n types according to $\{\sigma : Q \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} ; \text{homomorphism of group}\}$. Without a loss of generality, we may assume that finite dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}$ -modules are of type 1.

Definition 4.3. For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, let I_λ be the left ideal of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $\{e_i, f_i^{\lambda_i+1}, t_i - q_i^{\lambda_i} | i \in I\}$ and $L(\lambda) := U_q(\mathfrak{g})/I_\lambda$. We set $v_\lambda = 1 + I_\lambda \in L(\lambda)$. Let $V_A^{\text{res}}(\lambda)$ be the U_A^{res} -submodule of $L(\lambda)$ generated by v_λ , $V_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}(\lambda) := V_A^{\text{res}}(\lambda) \otimes_A \mathbb{C}_\varepsilon$, and $W_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}(\lambda)$ be the maximal proper $U_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}$ -submodule of $V_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}(\lambda)$. We define

$$L_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}(\lambda) := V_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}(\lambda)/W_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}(\lambda).$$

Theorem 4.4 ([2],[3]). (i) For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $L_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}(\lambda)$ is a finite dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}$ -module of type 1 (We call λ “highest weight of $L_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}(\lambda)$ ”).

(ii) Let L be a finite dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}$ -module of type 1. Then, there exists a unique element $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ such that $L \cong L_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}(\lambda)$.

(iii) Let $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$ ($\mathbb{Z}_l := \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$), $\lambda'' \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, and $\lambda := \lambda' + l\lambda''$. Then we have

$$L_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}(\lambda) \cong L_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}(\lambda') \otimes L_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}(l\lambda'').$$

Next, we give the relation between the representations of $U_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}$ and $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$.

Proposition 4.5 ([2], [3]). (i) For any $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$, we regard $L_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}(\lambda)$ as $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module and denote it $L_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}(\lambda)$. Then $L_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}(\lambda)$ is a finite dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module of type 1. Conversely, let L be any finite dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module of type 1, then there exists a unique element $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$ such that $L \cong L_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}(\lambda)$.

(ii) Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, we can regard $L_\varepsilon^{\text{res}}(l\lambda)$ as a finite dimensional irreducible $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module of the highest weight λ .

4.2 Finite dimensional quantum algebra $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$

In this subsection, we introduce the properties of $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ to prove Theorem 4.10–4.12 below. First, we introduce PBW theorem and the triangular decomposition of $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$.

Theorem 4.6 ([3]). *Let $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N$ be as in Definition 2.4 ($\Delta_+ = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N\}$). We assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is not of type G_2 . Then we have*

(i) $\{e_{\beta_1}^{m_1} \cdots e_{\beta_N}^{m_N} | 0 \leq m_i \leq l-1 \text{ for any } 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of $(U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}})^+$.

(ii) $\{f_{\beta_1}^{m_1} \cdots f_{\beta_N}^{m_N} | 0 \leq m_i \leq l-1 \text{ for any } 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of $(U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}})^-$.

(iii) $\{t_1^{m_1} \cdots t_n^{m_n} | 0 \leq m_i \leq 2l-1 \text{ for any } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of $(U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}})^0$.

(iv) Let ϕ be the multiplication map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &: (U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}})^- \otimes (U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}})^0 \otimes (U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}})^+ \longrightarrow U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}} \\ u_- \otimes u_0 \otimes u_+ &\longmapsto u_- u_0 u_+. \end{aligned}$$

Then ϕ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space.

By Theorem 4.6, we know that the dimension of $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ is $2^n l^{n+2N}$.

Proposition 4.7 ([9]). *We have $e_\alpha^l = f_\alpha^l = 0$ in $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, and $t_i^{2l} = 1$ in $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ for any $i \in I$.*

Lemma 4.8. *We assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is not of type G_2 . Let J be the two-sided ideal of U_ε generated by $\{e_\alpha^l, f_\alpha^l | \alpha \in \Delta\} \cup \{t_i^{2l} - 1 | i \in I\}$. Then we have $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}} \cong U_\varepsilon/J$.*

Proof. By the definition of $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$, $(e_i, f_i, t_i^{\pm 1})$ satisfies the relations in U_ε . Therefore, there exists the following $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism π

$$\pi : U_\varepsilon \longrightarrow U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}} \quad (e_i, f_i, t_i^{\pm 1}) \mapsto (e_i, f_i, t_i^{\pm 1}).$$

In particular, by Proposition 4.7, $J \subset \text{Ker} \pi$. Conversely, by Theorem 2.8, for any $u \in U_\varepsilon$, there exists $c(m) = c(m_1, \dots, m_{2N+n}) \in \mathbb{C}$ ($m = (m_1, \dots, m_{2N+n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{2N+n}$), such that

$$u = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{2N+n}} c(m) f_{\beta_1}^{m_1} \cdots f_{\beta_N}^{m_N} t_1^{m_{N+1}} \cdots t_n^{m_{N+n}} e_{\beta_1}^{m_{N+n+1}} \cdots e_{\beta_N}^{m_{2N+n}} \in U_\varepsilon.$$

By Proposition 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u \equiv & \sum_{m \in \mathbb{M}} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 0} c(m_1, \dots, m_N, m_{N+1} + 2k_1 l, \dots, m_{N+n} + 2k_n l, \\ & m_{N+n+1}, \dots, m_{2N+n}) f_{\beta_1}^{m_1} \cdots f_{\beta_N}^{m_N} t_1^{m_{N+1}} \cdots t_n^{m_{N+n}} e_{\beta_1}^{m_{N+n+1}} \cdots e_{\beta_N}^{m_{2N+n}} \pmod{J}. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M} := & \{m = (m_1, \dots, m_{2N+n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{2N+n} | 0 \leq m_i < 2l \quad (N+1 \leq i \leq N+n), \\ & 0 \leq m_i < l \quad (1 \leq i \leq N, N+n+1 \leq i \leq 2N+n)\} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\pi(J) = 0$ by Proposition 4.7, if $u \in \text{Ker} \pi$ then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \pi(u) = & \sum_{m \in \mathbb{M}} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 0} c(m_1, \dots, m_N, m_{N+1} + 2k_1 l, \dots, m_{N+n} + 2k_n l, \\ & m_{N+n+1}, \dots, m_{2N+n}) f_{\beta_1}^{m_1} \cdots f_{\beta_N}^{m_N} t_1^{m_{N+1}} \cdots t_n^{m_{N+n}} e_{\beta_1}^{m_{N+n+1}} \cdots e_{\beta_N}^{m_{2N+n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Theorem 4.6,

$$\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 0} c(m_1, \dots, m_N, m_{N+1} + 2k_1 l, \dots, m_{N+n} + 2k_n l, m_{N+n+1}, \dots, m_{2N+n}) = 0$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{M}$. Hence $u \in J$. $\square$

4.3 $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module structure on the $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$

In this subsection, we construct $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module by using the Schnizer modules.

Lemma 4.9. *For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ (resp. $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})$) ($n \geq 2$), and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, let $a^{(0)} = (\varepsilon^{a_{ij}})$, $b^{(0)} = (\varepsilon^{b_{ij}})$ be as in Lemma 3.6, $(\Phi_{\lambda, a, b}, V)$ be the Schnizer modules as in Theorem 3.3, and $u(0) = u(0, \dots, 0) \in V$ be the unique primitive vector. Then we have*

- (i) $e_\alpha u(0) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta_+$.
- (ii) $t_i^l u(0) = u(0)$ for any $i \in I$.
- (iii) $f_\alpha^l u(0) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta_+$.

Proof. (i) By Proposition 3.7, $e_i u(0) = 0$ for any $i \in I$. On the other hand, by Proposition 2.6, $e_\alpha \in U_\varepsilon^+ \cap (U_\varepsilon)_\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta_+$. Therefore $e_\alpha u(0) = 0$.

(ii) By the explicit form of the action of t_i in Theorem 3.3, there exists $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$t_i u(0) = \varepsilon^{\lambda_i + c_i} u(0).$$

Since $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t_i^l u(0) = u(0)$.

We shall prove (iii) in the next section. $\square$

We call U_ε -representation such that $e_i^l = f_i^l = 0$ “nilpotent representation”. By Lemma 4.8 (and proof of Lemma 4.9), we can regard nilpotent irreducible U_ε -representation (of type 1) as irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -representation (of type 1).

Theorem 4.10. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 2$). For any $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$, we define $\lambda' := (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ by*

$$\lambda'_j := -\lambda_j - 2 \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1), \quad \lambda'_n := -2(\lambda_n + 2).$$

Let $a^{(0)} = (\varepsilon^{a_{ij}})$, $b^{(0)} = (\varepsilon^{b_{ij}})$ be as in Lemma 3.6, and $(\Phi_{\lambda', a, b}, V)$ be the Schnizer module as in Theorem 3.3. We set $u_{\lambda'}(0) = u(0, \dots, 0)$, and let $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ be the U_ε -submodule of V generated by $u_{\lambda'}(0)$. Then we have

- (i) $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ is a $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module.
- (ii) $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ is isomorphic to $L_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}(\lambda)$ as $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module. That is, $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ is a finite dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module of type 1 with highest weight λ .

Proof. (i) Since $\Phi_{\lambda', a, b} : U_\varepsilon \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra, $(\Phi_{\lambda', a, b}(e_i), \Phi_{\lambda', a, b}(f_i), \Phi_{\lambda', a, b}(t_i^{\pm 1}))$ satisfy the relations in Definition 2.1 in $\text{End}(V)$. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.9 and Proposition 2.7,

$$(\Phi_{\lambda', a, b}(e_i^l))|_{U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)} = (\Phi_{\lambda', a, b}(f_i^l))|_{U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)} = 0 \quad (\alpha \in \Delta_+),$$

$$(\Phi_{\lambda', a, b}(t_i^{\pm 2l}))|_{U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)} = 1 \quad (i \in I).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4.8, there exists a canonical homomorphism from $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ to $\text{End}(U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0))$.

So we can regard $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ as $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module.

(ii) “Finite dimensionality” of $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ is obvious. $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ is “type 1” by Lemma 4.9(ii) and Proposition 2.7. So we shall prove the irreducibility of $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ and that the highest weight of $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ is λ .

Irreducibility: We can also regard $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ as well-defined Q -graded algebra by the following way (cf. Definition 2.5).

$$(U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}})_d := \{u + J | u \in (U_\varepsilon)_d\} \quad (d \in Q),$$

where $\mathbf{J}$ is the two-sided ideal as in Lemma 4.8. Hence

$$e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_r} \in (U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}})_{\alpha_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_r}} \quad (i_1, \dots, i_r \in I).$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 4.7, if $(U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}})_d \neq 0$ then

$$d \leq (l-1) \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} \beta$$

for any $d \in Q$, where $d \geq d' \Leftrightarrow d-d' \in Q_+$. So, there exists $r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_r} = 0$ for any $r \geq r_0$ and $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r \in I$. Thus, for any nonzero $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -submodule L of $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$, there exists a nonzero element $v \in L$ such that $e_i v = 0$ for any $i \in I$. Therefore, by the uniqueness of primitive vector of Proposition 3.7, $u_{\lambda'}(0) \in L$. Hence $L \supseteq U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}} u_{\lambda'}(0) = U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0) \supseteq L$.

Highest weight: By the definition of $L_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}(\lambda)$, there exists a unique nonzero element (up to scalar multiplication) $v \in L_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}(\lambda)$ such that,

$$e_i v = 0 \quad t_i v = \varepsilon_i^{\lambda_i} v \quad \text{for any } i \in I,$$

where $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon^{d_i}$ (since $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$, $(d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}, d_n) = (1, \dots, 1, 2)$). So we shall prove that $t_i u_{\lambda'}(0) = \varepsilon_i^{\lambda_i} u_{\lambda'}(0)$ for any $i \in I$. By the explicit form of t_i in Theorem 3.3, for any $i(1 \leq i \leq n-2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{ij} u_{\lambda'}(0) \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} z_{k,j-1}^{-1} z_{kj}^2 z_{k,j+1}^{-1} z_{j+2,k}^{-1} z_{j+1,k}^2 z_{jk}^{-1} \right) \times (z_{jj}^2 z_{j,j+1}^{-1} z_{j+2,j}^{-1} z_{j+1,j}^2 z_{j+1,j+1}^{-1} z_{j+2,j+1}^{-1} \varepsilon^{\lambda'_j}) u_{\lambda'}(0) \\ &= \varepsilon^{c_j + \lambda'_j} u_{\lambda'}(0), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_j &= \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (-b_{k,j-1} + 2b_{kj} - b_{k,j+1} - b_{j+2,k} + 2b_{j+1,k} - b_{jk}) \\ &\quad + (2b_{jj} - b_{j,j+1} - b_{j+2,j} + 2b_{j+1,j} - b_{j+1,j+1} - b_{j+2,j+1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \{(b_{kj} - b_{k,j-1}) - (b_{k,j+1} - b_{kj})\} + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \{(b_{j+1,k} - b_{j+2,k}) - (b_{jk} - b_{j+1,k})\} \\ &\quad + (2b_{jj} - b_{j,j+1} - b_{j+1,j+1}) + 2(b_{j+1,j} - b_{j+2,j}) + (b_{j+2,j} - b_{j+2,j+1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (1-1) + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (1-1) + (2-2-1) + 2+1 = 2, \end{aligned}$$

(since $k \leq j-1$, $b_{k,j-1} = b_{kj} - 1 = b_{k,j+1} - 2$, $b_{jk} = b_{j+1,k} + 1 = b_{j+2,k} + 2$). Hence,

$$t_j u_{\lambda'}(0) = T_{1j}^{-1} u_{\lambda'}(0) = \varepsilon^{-2-\lambda'_j} u_{\lambda'}(0) = \varepsilon_i^{\lambda_j} u_{\lambda'}(0).$$

Similarly, we can prove the case of $j = 1, n-1, n$. $\square$

By the similar manner to the proof of Theorem 4.10, we can also prove the following theorems. (if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$ then $(d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}, d_n) = (2, \dots, 2, 1)$, and if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ then $(d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}, d_n) = (1, \dots, 1, 1)$).

Theorem 4.11. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 3$). For any $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$, we denote $\lambda' := (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ by*

$$\lambda'_j := -2(\lambda_j - 2) \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1), \quad \lambda'_n := -\lambda_n - 2.$$

Let $a^{(0)} = (\varepsilon^{a_{ij}}), b^{(0)} = (\varepsilon^{b_{ij}})$ be in Lemma 3.8, and $(\Phi_{\lambda', a, b}, V)$ be the maximal cyclic U_ε -representation of Theorem 3.4. We set $u_{\lambda'}(0) = u(0, \dots, 0)$. Let $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ be the U_ε -submodule of V generated by $u_{\lambda'}(0)$. Then we have

- (i) $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ is a $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module.
- (ii) $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ is isomorphic to $L_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}(\lambda)$ as $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module. That is, $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ is a finite dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module of type 1 with highest weight λ .

Theorem 4.12. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 4$). For any $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$, we denote $\lambda' := (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ by

$$\lambda'_j := -\lambda_j - 2 \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

Let $a^{(0)} = (\varepsilon^{a_{ij}}), b^{(0)} = (\varepsilon^{b_{ij}})$ be in Lemma 3.10, and $(\Phi_{\lambda', a, b}, V)$ be the maximal cyclic U_ε -representation Theorem 3.5. We set $u_{\lambda'}(0) = u(0, \dots, 0)$. Let $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ be the U_ε -submodule of V generated by $u_{\lambda'}(0)$. Then we have

- (i) $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ is a $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module.
- (ii) $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ is isomorphic to $L_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}(\lambda)$ as $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module. That is, $U_\varepsilon u_{\lambda'}(0)$ is a finite dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}}$ -module of type 1 with highest weight λ .

Comment: We expect that we can treat infinitesimal Verma modules for orthogonal and symplectic cases by the similar way to [10].

5 Proof of Lemma 4.9(iii)

5.1 Case of $\lambda = (l-1, \dots, l-1)$

In this subsection we shall show Lemma 4.9 (iii) for the special case $\lambda = (l-1, \dots, l-1)$.

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$, we denote the $U_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g})$ -module corresponding to the representation $(\Phi_{a^{(0)}, b^{(0)}, \lambda'}, V)$ by $V(\lambda)$ ($a^{(0)}, b^{(0)}$ as in Lemma 3.6, 3.8, 3.10 and λ' as in Theorem 4.10, 4.11, 4.12.). We obtain the following lemma by the similar way to the proof of Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 5.1. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$, the actions of f_i on $V(\lambda)$ are given by the following formula. For any $m = (m_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n \in M$,

$$f_j u(m) = \sum_{i=1}^j v_{i,j}^m$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v_{i,j}^m &= [m_{i,j} - m_{i,j+1} - m_{j+2,i} + 2m_{j+1,i} - m_{j,i} + \mu_{i+1,j}^m] u(m + \varepsilon_{i,j}) \\ &\quad + [m_{j+1,i} - m_{j,i} + \mu_{i+1,j}^m] u(m + \varepsilon_{j+1,i}) \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-2), \\ v_{i,n-1}^m &= [m_{i,n-1} - 2m_{i,n} + 2m_{n,i} - m_{n-1,i} + \mu_{i+1,n-1}^m] u(m + \varepsilon_{i,n-1}) \\ &\quad + [m_{n,i} - m_{n-1,i} + \mu_{i+1,n-1}^m] u(m + \varepsilon_{n,i}) \quad (1 \leq i < n-1), \\ v_{i,n}^m &= [m_{i,n} - m_{n,i} + \mu_{i+1,n}^m] \varepsilon^2 u(m + \varepsilon_{i,n}) \quad (1 \leq i < n), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{i,i}^m &= [m_{i,i} - m_{i,i+1} - m_{i+2,i} + 2m_{i+1,i} - m_{i+1,i+1} - m_{i+2,i+1} - \lambda_i] u(m + \varepsilon_{i,i}) \\ &\quad + [m_{i+1,i} - m_{i+1,i+1} - m_{i+2,i+1} - \lambda_i] u(m + \varepsilon_{j+1,i}) \quad (1 \leq i < n-1), \\ v_{n-1,n-1}^m &= [m_{n-1,n-1} - 2m_{n-1,n} + 2m_{n,n-1} - 2m_{n,n} - \lambda_{n-1}] u(m + \varepsilon_{n-1,n-1}) \\ &\quad + [m_{n,n-1} - 2m_{n,n} - \lambda_{n-1}] u(m + \varepsilon_{n,n-1}), \\ v_{n,n}^m &= [m_{n,n} - \lambda_n] \varepsilon^2 u(m + \varepsilon_{n,n}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mu_{i,j} = \sum_{k=i}^j \nu_{k,j}^m \quad (1 \leq i \leq j \leq n),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{i,j}^m &= -m_{i,j-1} + 2m_{i,j} - m_{i,j+1} - m_{j+2,i} + 2m_{j+1,i} - m_{j,i} \quad (1 \leq i < j < n-1), \\ \nu_{i,n-1}^m &= -m_{i,n-2} + 2m_{i,n-1} - 2m_{i,n} - 2m_{n,i} - m_{n-1,i} \quad (1 \leq i < n-1), \\ \nu_{i,n}^m &= -m_{i,n-1} + 2m_{i,n} - m_{n,i} \quad (1 \leq i < n), \\ \nu_{i,i}^m &= 2m_{i,i} - m_{i,i+1} - m_{i+2,i} + 2m_{i+1,i} - m_{i+1,i+1} - m_{i+2,i+1} - \lambda_i \quad (1 \leq i < n-1), \\ \nu_{n-1,n-1}^m &= 2m_{n-1,n-1} - 2m_{n-1,n} - 2m_{n,n-1} - 2m_{n,n} - \lambda_{n-1}, \\ \nu_{n,n}^m &= 2m_{n,n} - \lambda_n. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we can easily obtain the similar results for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(m, \mathbb{C})$ ($m = 2n, 2n+1$).

For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$, in case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$, we define $m^\lambda = (m_{i,j}^\lambda)_{i,j=1}^n \in M$ by

$$\begin{aligned} m_{i,i}^\lambda &= \lambda_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n), \\ m_{i,j}^\lambda &= \lambda_i + \dots + \lambda_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n), \\ m_{j,i}^\lambda &= \lambda_i + \dots + \lambda_{j-1} + 2\lambda_j + \dots + 2\lambda_n \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{i,j+1}^\lambda - m_{i,j}^\lambda &= \lambda_{j+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq j < n), \\ m_{n,i}^\lambda - m_{i,n}^\lambda &= \lambda_n \quad (1 \leq i < n), \\ m_{j,i}^\lambda - m_{j+1,i}^\lambda &= \lambda_j \quad (1 \leq i \leq j < n-1). \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Similarly, for $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$, in case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$, we define $m^\lambda = (m_{i,j}^\lambda)_{i,j=1}^n \in M$ by

$$\begin{aligned} m_{i,i}^\lambda &:= \lambda_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n), \\ m_{i,j}^\lambda &:= \lambda_i + \dots + \lambda_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-1), \\ m_{i,n}^\lambda &:= 2\lambda_i + \dots + 2\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \\ m_{j,i}^\lambda &:= \lambda_i + \dots + \lambda_{j-1} + 2\lambda_j + \dots + 2\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-1), \\ m_{n,i}^\lambda &:= \lambda_i + \dots + \lambda_n \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \end{aligned}$$

and in case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})$, we define $m^\lambda = (m_{i,j}^\lambda)_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq n} \in M$ by

$$\begin{aligned} m_{i,i}^\lambda &:= \lambda_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \\ m_{n-1,n}^\lambda &:= \lambda_n, \\ m_{i,j}^\lambda &:= \lambda_i + \dots + \lambda_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-2), \\ m_{i,n-1}^\lambda &:= \lambda_i + \dots + \lambda_{n-2} + \lambda_n \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m_{i,n}^\lambda &:= \lambda_i + \dots + \lambda_{n-2} + \lambda_{n-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-2), \\ m_{j,i}^\lambda &:= \lambda_i + \dots + \lambda_{j-1} + 2\lambda_j + \dots + 2\lambda_{n-2} + \lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n-2), \\ m_{n-1,i}^\lambda &:= \lambda_i + \dots + \lambda_n \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-2). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.2. (i) For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$ and $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, we have $f_\alpha u(m^\lambda) = 0$ in $V(\lambda)$.

(ii) Set $\lambda_0 = (l-1, \dots, l-1) \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$. For any $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ and $v \in V(\lambda_0)$, write

$$f_\alpha v = \sum_{m \in M} c_\alpha(m) u(m) \quad (c_\alpha(m) \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Then $c_\alpha(0) = 0$, i.e. the vector $u(0)$ never occurs in $f_\alpha v$.

Proof. We only show for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. The other cases are show similarly. By Proposition 2.6, $f_\alpha \in U_\varepsilon^- \cap (U_\varepsilon)_\alpha$. So, it is enough to prove the case of $\alpha = \alpha_i (i \in I)$.

(i) For any $1 \leq i < j < n-1$, by Lemma 5.1 and (5.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{i,j}^{m^\lambda} &= -m_{i,j-1}^\lambda + 2m_{i,j}^\lambda - m_{i,j+1}^\lambda - m_{j+2,i}^\lambda + 2m_{j+1,i}^\lambda - m_{j,i}^\lambda \\ &= (m_{i,j}^\lambda - m_{i,j-1}^\lambda) - (m_{i,j+1}^\lambda - m_{i,j}^\lambda) + (m_{j+1,i}^\lambda - m_{j+2,i}^\lambda) - (m_{j,i}^\lambda - m_{j+1,i}^\lambda) \\ &= \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1} + \lambda_{j+1} - \lambda_j = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\nu_{i,n-1}^{m^\lambda} = 0 \quad (1 \leq i < n-1), \quad \nu_{i,n}^{m^\lambda} = 0 \quad (1 \leq i < n).$$

Further, for any $1 \leq i < n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{i,i}^{m^\lambda} &= 2m_{i,i}^\lambda - m_{i,i+1}^\lambda - m_{i+1,i+1}^\lambda - \lambda_i - m_{i+2,i}^\lambda + 2m_{i+1,i}^\lambda - m_{i+2,i+1}^\lambda \\ &= 2\lambda_i - (\lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1}) - \lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i - (\lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1} + 2\lambda_{i+2} + \dots + 2\lambda_n) \\ &\quad + 2(\lambda_i + 2\lambda_{i+1} + 2\lambda_{i+2} + \dots + 2\lambda_n) - (\lambda_{i+1} + 2\lambda_{i+2} + \dots + 2\lambda_n) \\ &= \lambda_i \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\nu_{n-1,n-1}^{m^\lambda} = \lambda_{n-1}, \quad \nu_{n,n}^{m^\lambda} = \lambda_n.$$

Thus, it follows from Lemma 5.1 that for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$,

$$\mu_{i,j}^{m^\lambda} = \sum_{k=i}^j \nu_{k,j}^{m^\lambda} = \nu_{j,j}^{m^\lambda} = \lambda_j.$$

Hence, for any $1 \leq i < j < n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} v_{i,j}^{m^\lambda} &= [-(m_{i,j+1}^\lambda - m_{i,j}^\lambda) + (m_{j+1,i}^\lambda - m_{j+2,i}^\lambda) - (m_{j,i}^\lambda - m_{j+1,i}^\lambda) - \mu_{i+1,j}^{m^\lambda}] u(m^\lambda + \varepsilon_{i,j}) \\ &\quad + [-(m_{j,i}^\lambda - m_{j+1,i}^\lambda) - \mu_{i+1,j}^{m^\lambda}] u(m^\lambda + \varepsilon_{j+1,i}) \\ &= [-\lambda_{j+1} + \lambda_{j+1} - \lambda_j + \lambda_j] u(m^\lambda + \varepsilon_{i,j}) + [-\lambda_j + \lambda_j] u(m^\lambda + \varepsilon_{j+1,i}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$v_{i,j}^{m^\lambda} = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq j \leq n).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 5.1, for any $j \in I$ we have $f_j u(m^\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^j v_{i,j}^{m^\lambda} = 0$.

(ii) For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$, $v = \sum_{m \in M} c(m) u(m) \in V(\lambda)$, by Lemma 5.1,

$$f_j v = \sum_{m \in M} c(m) \left(\sum_{i=1}^j v_{i,j}^m \right) = \sum_{i=1}^j \left(\sum_{m \in M} c(m) v_{i,j}^m \right) \quad (j \in I).$$

Since for any $1 \leq i < j < n-1$, $m_{i,j}$ does not appear in $\mu_{i+1,j}^m$ and $(i,j) \neq (i,j+1), (j+2,i), (j+1,i), (j,i)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \in M} c(m) v_{i,j}^m &= \sum_{m \in M} c(m) [m_{i,j} - m_{i,j+1} - m_{j+2,i} + 2m_{j+1,i} - m_{j,i} + \mu_{i+1,j}^m] u(m + \varepsilon_{i,j}) \\ &= \sum_{m \in M} c(m - \varepsilon_{i,j}) [(m_{i,j} - 1) - m_{i,j+1} - m_{j+2,i} + 2m_{j+1,i} - m_{j,i} + \mu_{i+1,j}^m] u(m) \end{aligned}$$

If $m \equiv 0$, we have $\mu_{i+1,j}^m = -\lambda_j$. Thus, we obtain that the coefficient of $u(0)$ is equal to:

$$c(-\varepsilon_{i,j})[-1 - \lambda_j].$$

Hence, if $\lambda = (l-1, \dots, l-1)$, then this is 0. Similarly, we obtain that $u(0)$ does not appear in $\sum_{m \in M} c(m) v_{i,j}^m$ for all other $i, j \in I$. So the coefficient of $u(0)$ in $f_j v$ is equal to 0. $\square$

Lemma 5.3. *We have $f_\alpha^l u(0) = 0$ in $V(\lambda_0)$ ($\lambda_0 = (l-1, \dots, l-1)$) for any $\alpha \in \Delta_+$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.7, for any $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, f_α^l is a central element of U_ε . Thus,

$$e_i(f_\alpha^l u(0)) = f_\alpha^l(e_i u(0)) = 0 \quad (i \in I).$$

So, $f_\alpha^l u(0)$ is a primitive vector. Therefore, by the uniqueness of primitive vector (see Proposition 3.7), $f_\alpha^l u(0) \in \mathbb{C}u(0)$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.2(ii), the coefficient of $u(0)$ in $f_\alpha^l u(0)$ is 0. Hence $f_\alpha^l u(0) = 0$. $\square$

5.2 Proof of $e_\alpha^l = 0$ on $V(\lambda)$

Definition 5.4. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$, I_λ be the left ideal of U_ε generated by $\{e_i, t_i - \varepsilon_i^{\lambda_i}, f_\alpha^l \mid i \in I, \alpha \in \Delta_+\}$. We set $M(\lambda) := U_\varepsilon / I_\lambda$.

Proposition 5.5. ([1] Proposition 3.2, Corollary 3.2(b))

(i) *If $\lambda = (l-1, \dots, l-1)$, then $M(\lambda)$ is an irreducible U_ε -module.*

(ii) *For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$, $\dim M(\lambda) = l^{n^2}$ ($= \dim V(\lambda)$).*

Proposition 5.6. *For $\lambda = (l-1, \dots, l-1)$, $M(\lambda) \cong V(\lambda)$ (as U_ε -module).*

Proof. By Lemma 5.3 and the property of $u(0)$, we have

$$e_i u(0) = 0, \quad t_i u(0) = \varepsilon_i^{\lambda_i} u(0), \quad f_\alpha^l u(0) = 0 \quad (i \in I, \alpha \in \Delta_+).$$

So, by the universality of $M(\lambda)$, there exists an U_ε -module homomorphism $\phi : M(\lambda) \rightarrow V(\lambda)$ such that $\phi(1 + I_\lambda) = u(0)$. By Proposition 5.5(i), $M(\lambda)$ is an irreducible U_ε -module if $\lambda = (l-1, \dots, l-1)$, and $\phi \neq 0$. Hence ϕ is injective.

On the other hand, by Proposition 5.5(ii), $\dim M(\lambda) = \dim V(\lambda)$. Thus ϕ is surjective. Therefore ϕ is an isomorphism of U_ε -module. $\square$

Lemma 5.7. *For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$ and $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, $e_\alpha^l = 0$ on $V(\lambda)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.6, Proposition 5.5(i), $V(l-1, \dots, l-1)$ is an irreducible U_ε -module and then we have $U_\varepsilon u(0) = V(l-1, \dots, l-1)$. Thus,

$$e_\alpha^l V(l-1, \dots, l-1) = e_\alpha^l (U_\varepsilon u(0)) = U_\varepsilon (e_\alpha^l u(0)) = \{0\}.$$

Hence $e_\alpha^l = 0$ on $V(l-1, \dots, l-1)$. Due to Lemma 3.6 we know that the actions of e_i on $V(\lambda)$ do not depend on λ . Therefore, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$, $e_\alpha^l = 0$ on $V(\lambda)$. $\square$

5.3 General case

Lemma 5.8. *For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$ and $v \in V(\lambda)(v \neq 0)$, there exists $u^+ \in U_\varepsilon^+$ such that $u^+v = u(0)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.7, we can regard $V(\lambda)$ as a $(U_\varepsilon^{\text{fin}})^+$ -module. (see proof of Lemma 4.8). So, by the similar manner to the proof of Theorem 4.10(ii), we can take $u^+ \in U_\varepsilon^+$ such that u^+v is a nonzero primitive vector. Therefore, by the uniqueness of the primitive vector, we have $u^+v \in \mathbb{C}^\times u(0)$. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 4.9(iii). Let us show that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n$ and $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, $f_\alpha^l u(0) = 0$ in $V(\lambda)$. By Lemma 5.8, there exists $u^+ \in U_\varepsilon^+$ such that $u^+u(m^\lambda) = u(0)$. Since $f_\alpha u(m^\lambda) = 0$ by Lemma 5.2(i),

$$f_\alpha^l u(0) = f_\alpha(u^+u(m^\lambda)) = u^+(f_\alpha^l u(m^\lambda)) = 0$$

$\square$

References

- [1] C.De Concini and V.G.Kac, Actes du Colloque en l'honneur de Jacques Dixmier, edited by A.Connes, M Duflou, A. Joseph and R.Rentschler (Prog. Math. Birkhauser. 1990), Vol. 92, p.471.
- [2] G.Lusztig, Modular representations and quantum groups, Contemp.Math 82, 59-77 (1989)
- [3] G.Lusztig, Quantum groups at root of 1, Geom.Dedicata 35, 89-113 (1990).
- [4] E.Date, M.Jimbo, K.Miki, and T.Miwa, Cyclic Representations of $U_q(sl(n+1, \mathbb{C}))$ at $q^N = 1$, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 27, 366-437 (1991).
- [5] W.A.Schnizer, Roots of unity: Representations for symplectic and orthogonal quantum groups, J.Math.Phys. 34, 4340-4363 (1993).
- [6] W.A.Schnizer, Root of Unity: Representation of Quantum Groups, Comm.Math.Phys., **163**, 293-306 (1994).
- [7] T.Nakashima, Irreducible modules of finite dimensional quantum algebras of type A at roots of unity, J.Math.Phys. vol.43, No.4, April 2002, 2000-2014.
- [8] J.C.Jantzen, Lectures on Quantum Groups, GSM. vol.6, (1996)
- [9] V.Chari and A Pressley, A Guide to Quantum Groups (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994).
- [10] M.Kaneda and T.Nakashima, On certain maximal cyclic modules for the quantized special linear algebras at root of unity, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 211, No.2, (2003), 273-282.